

# identity



Magazine of the British National Party

July 2008 - Issue 92 - £2.40

## *This Month*

**Islam's contribution to  
the Western world**

**The realities of a  
post-Peak Oil world**

## ***Our Genetic Curse***

**Eddy Butler puts forward the case that  
liberalism lies within our people's genes**



# News

## BBC Fuels Attacks on Poles

Conservative MP Kawczynski last month said that the BBC's coverage of immigration issues tended to concentrate on Poles even though most immigrants to Britain came from outside Europe. This was fuelling increased racist attacks on Polish immigrants.

"The liberal elite of the BBC constantly refer to immigration from Poland because they are using the Polish community as a cat's paw to try to tackle the thorny issue of mass unchecked immigration into our country," he said.

Mr Kawczynski added, "If this was being done to a black or another ethnic minority group, it would simply not be tolerated."

## EU to Strip Sceptic MPs of Cash and Influence

Plans to eliminate Eurosceptics as an organised opposition within the European Parliament are expected to be agreed upon by a majority of Euro-MPs this summer, says a report by Bruno Waterfield in the *Daily Telegraph* last month. They will vote to change the rules governing the political groupings formed by Euro-MPs, which can be eligible for lavish funding.

Current rules allow 20 Euro-MPs from a fifth of the EU's member states to form a 'grouping', giving them a say in the parliament's administration and power structures. Under the changes, the threshold would become 30 Euro-MPs from one quarter of the member states.

## Bardot Fined Again Over Islamic Slaughter

Former actress and model Brigitte Bardot has been fined £12,000 in France for "Inciting racial hatred" against Muslims for comments on the Islamic slaughter of animals. She has repeatedly said that Muslims should stun animals before slaughtering them.

Annoyed because no action has been taken, she outraged anti-racist groups by saying, "I've had enough of being led by the nose by this whole population which is destroying us, and destroying our country by imposing their ways."



The brave and still beautiful Bardot already has four convictions on similar charges.

## Computer-chip Factory to Close

Despite New Labour's pledge that as traditional manufacturing industries closed they would be replaced by high-tech ones, nothing appears to have been done to save the Freescale computer-chip factory in East Kilbride, Lanarkshire, which is to be closed by its American owners. The plant employs 1,000 people, of whom as many as 850 may lose their jobs.

Freescale would be the latest in a series of electronic companies either to close factories or to lay off staff in Scotland's Silicon Glen.

## Milking Racial Discrimination

Asian police officer Det Sgt Gurpal Virdee was twice paid compensation for racial discrimination from Scotland Yard. He was first awarded £150,000 in 2000 when a tribunal ruled he had been unfairly dismissed after being wrongly accused of sending racist hate mail to black and Asian officers. Reinstated in 2002, he was paid £90,000 for "injury to his feelings."

Last month he was further awarded £70,400 for victimisation after he was passed over for promotion at the Metropolitan Police. Not satisfied with his current total of £310,400, he has launched a further two complaints.

## Successful Trial on Tidal Power

Tidal power has been harnessed to generate electricity for the national grid for the first time. Last month a single turbine on the Atlantic seabed off Orkney was connected to the grid. In contrast, we are told that erratic power from windmills is still unable to be connected to the national grid.

The area off the north of Scotland is regarded as potentially one of the best in

the world for tidal power and has been described as the "Saudi Arabia of marine energy."

The British National Party supports this as one of the alternatives to oil-based energy.

## Unions Go Global

Following in the wake of the current economic disasters brought about by the obsessive free-trade of global capitalism, Unite, Britain's biggest trade union, is about to announce a merger with a US counterpart, paving the way for the first global labour organisation. The agreement is said to be with the United Steelworkers (USW) union, which has more than a million members in the US and Canada.

It would seem that this would water down Unite members' bargaining powers even more, says the new British workers' union, Solidarity. Their address is: PO Box 93, Spennymoor, DL16 9AN. Website: [www.solidaritytradeunion.net](http://www.solidaritytradeunion.net).

## Tory Council Outspends Labour

In May 2007, control of a Suffolk County Council passed from joint Labour/Liberal Democrat control to Conservative. Partly responsible for the increased council taxes for Suffolk rate payers has been the appointment of a chief executive, Andrea Hill, on a £220,000 salary, which is £70,000 more than her predecessor and £31,000 more than the Prime Minister is paid.

The council is now planning to appoint a press officer on a salary of up to £81,000. No doubt one of his/her tasks will be to put a favourable spin on the reason for the chief executive's high salary. Identity editor, who lives in Suffolk, is applying for the post.

# identity

Editor: John Bean  
Design: Mark Collett  
July 2008

Public reference:

ISSN 1469-7971

Subscriptions Dept:  
PO Box 17, Amlwch,  
LL68 8AB

Tel: 0871 050 0238

[subscriptions@bnp.org.uk](mailto:subscriptions@bnp.org.uk)

[www.identitymagazine.org.uk](http://www.identitymagazine.org.uk)

Articles should be submitted to the

addresses below in  
typed/electronic format:

PO Box 97, Newmarket,  
Suffolk CB8 1WT.

Email: [identity@bnp.org.uk](mailto:identity@bnp.org.uk)





# A Vote For The Tories Is Not An Alternative



The recent media publicity that has propelled the BNP into the forefront of the political arena is, of course most welcome. With the notable exception of *The Daily Mail* and its offshoot the *London Evening Standard*, the reporting has been mainly factual. In most cases epithets used about us seem to have gone beyond "the Neo-Nazi, Race Hating, Nick Griffin ate my hamster" category.

What we should be wary of is being over presented as the new left party which is beginning to replace the Labour party in the towns and cities of England and Wales in particular, although the signs are that it is beginning to happen in Scotland as well. The Conservative supporting papers such as the *Mail* and *The Telegraph* (alias the *Torygraph*) have a vested interest in doing this. When they use the commendable comments on the BNP's progress made by such respected Labour MPs as Frank Field (Kate Hoey is probably the only other decent one) they do so in the hope that it will accelerate the drift to the BNP by erstwhile life-long Labour supporters so as to enhance the chances of Tory candidates at the next election.

Obviously, we are forever grateful to those mainly former Labour voters who first took the plunge of voting for us over the last four or five years and thereby made it natural for people today to even consider voting BNP, but to make the final breakthrough into the House of Commons we need also to reach out to disaffected Tory voters. What happened in the London Assembly election, and cost us at least one more Assembly seat, and in the local elections generally turned at least another ten council seat wins into close seconds, was that too many voters fell for the Tories well-practiced con-trick. Backed with the power of the media, they were able to convince people that they might just do something about immigration, do something about crime, about the failing NHS, and that the expanding 'credit crunch' and increase in the cost of living was almost solely due to Brown's ineptitude as former Chancellor and now as Prime Minister. Most voters knew that we were just as concerned on all these issues but did not have the power that the Tories have to do much about it. This will now change as we begin to show that we are gaining that power!

We need to emphasise that on many issues Tory policy is now Cameronlite. On immigration they carefully avoid the fact that at least three million immigrants came, settled and bred in Britain before New Labour took power in 1997. They are blatantly pursuing the immigrant vote, although with less success than Labour or the Lib-dems, and in consequence dare not give an actual number that would be allowed in under their alleged plan for a maximum figure each year. We just do not have the time for another re-run of

Margaret Thatcher's vague promise to "do something about immigration." The situation for our survival as a European nation is that critical.

## EU Now Has the Real Power

We talk of gaining representation in the House of Commons; the Tories talk of gaining power there. But that is not where the real power now lies. It lies in Brussels, in the hands of the EU's mainly unelected bureaucrats, and Cameron and chums say nothing about it, following his dictum that "we must stop banging on about Europe." Now that UKIP has been reduced to squabbling over the last few votes with the Looney candidates, and assorted head cases, we must take over UKIP's role in this aspect, but with a notable exception. We are not anti-European. We are fighting, as are other nationalist parties in Europe, to defend the values of European Civilisation, which is being undermined by those who run the European Union as a neo-Socialist/Marxist state.

Let us just give one example of the more recent cases of why the Tories (as well as the Lib-Labs) should be "banging on" about the EU. As a result of the latest EU directives, the Civil Service Nationality rules say that 75% of posts are open to applicants from the Commonwealth and throughout Europe. So much for Gordon Brown's promise of "British jobs for British Workers!" The Government is already employing 1,229 foreign workers in Whitehall alone. On this the Tories have said nothing.

To conclude I cannot do better than to quote the words of my friend Alastair Harper on the snake oil salesman Cameron.

"Not a word do we hear from Cameron and his 21<sup>st</sup> century Tories of collapsing British identity under the pressure of catastrophic immigration, our duties to society, the restoration of the rule of law, a halt to educational decline, the unmasking of Marxist political correctness, the insight that globalisation is destroying British economic life, the immorality of high taxes and the rampant totalitarianism of the liberal ideology with which he completely identifies.

"Cameron's Tories are cast in the same mould as the Liberal Democrats and Blair's New Labour. They are the Lib Lab Con Axis. It is ideologically impossible for them to escape the strait-jacket into which prior leftist "Tory" leaders like Macmillan and Heath stitched them up."

John Bean



When a level-headed author like Vernon Coleman, who has long argued against radical doom-mongering over subjects such as AIDS and global warming states bluntly that five-sixths of the entire world population will die within the next couple of decades, it should flag up just how serious the energy crunch really is. Vernon Coleman is well regarded in our circles as the author of several fine books exposing the machinations of the Europhile elite, and for others in which he emerges as a sincere and thoughtful English patriot. He most definitely is neither a crank nor an alarmist.

Indeed, a number of energy analysts, whose ten years of predictions about Peak Oil are now shown to have been extremely accurate, would say that the rapid reversal of human population levels to what they were before the exploitation of fossil fuels led to the explosive growth of the last two centuries will involve a biological textbook case of overshoot. Just as the numbers of bacteria in a laboratory Petrie dish undergo a geometrical progression until all the food in the agar is used up and then crash in a mass die-off so, the pessimists believe, will the end of the oil age not see a reversal to the mediaeval status quo ante, but rather a collapse back into the Stone Age.

This ultra gloomy prediction, generally known as the Olduvai Gorge Theory, may well go way too far, and it is only mentioned here in order to show that Dr. Coleman is, frighteningly, on the moderate side of the debate about where we're all headed next. As a perpetual optimist, however, I'm even more hopeful than he is, preferring to side with those who believe that human ingenuity and resilience could - with rapid action to implement a sensible long-term plan - mitigate the decline, allowing our civilisation to survive a painful process of 'powerdown' to emerge on the other side of the 'long emergency' transformed into something simpler, more human-scale and truly sustainable.

#### HURDLES TO OVERCOME

That said, there are massive hurdles to overcome. First, most human beings are not ingenious, only a few are. Some of the fastest growing populations around the world in recent decades have never invented anything in all recorded history. Even in Britain, home of the Industrial Revolution and source of many of the ideas which created the Information Technology Revolution, the birth rate among those who work, plan, invent and pay taxes is dropping steadily, driven down by the financial and social costs of the growth of a feckless underclass and the equally parasitic managers of a welfare system that accepts no limits on 'rights' but has no matching concept of responsibilities or contributions.

Further, together with most other Western nations, our societal resilience to

hard times has been shattered by the forcible imposition of mass immigration, multi-culturalism and liberal 'permissiveness' towards all sorts of anti-social behaviour. The British population that came through the last Great Depression with no discernable increase in crime no longer exists. The Britain that went through most of the war blacked out every night without an epidemic of muggings, rapes and looting has been socially and genetically engineered out of existence by our Masters. The Kaiser's Zeppelin raids and Hitler's bombers served to bring our "one realm of nations four" even closer together; but the pressure of crumbling prosperity and the other tensions that will grow as the Age of Scarcity bites will have a very different impact on the multi-cult Tower of Babel which has replaced our former ethnic homogeneity and common values.

Finally, we have the problem of an almost uniquely corrupt, incompetent, short-sighted yet dogmatic ruling elite which has a crushing contempt for the rest of us. While there are finally signs that even Gordon Brown is waking up to the fact that physical and geological realities are a thousand more times important than abstract economic theories, he and his Government still refuse to trust us with the bad news that they got it wrong and that there are not sufficient oil reserves to sustain economic growth for at least thirty years.

Instead they persist in using 'global warming' - a crisis which, even if genuine, is decades away from making any real impact on our lives - as an excuse to pile on more taxes and to enable them to avoid discussing the giant Peak Oil elephant



# What Does the the Oil Age Mean

» This article is not about Peak Oil, which all realistic observers now regard as a fact that we must all face rather than a theory we can take or leave. Having explained that in our last issue, Nick Griffin now takes us on a terrifying journey into the near future, looking at the social and political turmoil that looms ahead.



**"The world you know is going to change dramatically and permanently. Five billion people will die within a very short time. There will be no cars, no lorries, no buses, no aeroplanes and no supermarkets. The rich will travel by horse and cart. The middle classes will use bicycles. The poor will walk. The oil is running out and, as a result, our civilisation is reaching its end." Vernon Coleman - *Oil Apocalypse***

# End of Oil?

which isn't so much standing in the living room as ripping the roof off the house.

That said, having pointed out the very real difficulties we face in even getting to grips with this potentially deadly crisis, we also have a lot going for us here in Britain. First, it was our people, spurred by last great energy crunch - when we'd used all the coal above the water table - who invented the modern world, which dates from the invention of the steam engine by Thomas Newcomen in 1712. Since succumbing to a counsel of despair would be to adopt an Eastern fatalism wholly at odds with our traditional national character, let us simply resolve to have faith that we still have it in us to make a positive mark on the future as well as on the past.

Further, the most socially disruptive aspects of the liberal elite's giant social engineering experiment are very recent, very unpopular with the increasingly restive

silent majority, and capable of rapid reversal by a new government with a popular mandate to do so. All of which we are far more likely to be able to get ourselves into a position to do something about as the plentiful pain inflicted by the downside of the oil production curve teaches hitherto undreamt of lessons to the electorate.

So what sort of issues will a post-Peak nationalist government have to address?

## NOT JUST A MATTER OF OIL

First, let's be crystal clear about one thing: This is not just a matter of oil. Even if oil could be replaced tomorrow by a non-polluting, high yield magic bullet, Peak Copper, Uranium and Coal, plus advanced depletion of fishing stocks, soil fertility and water resources, would still loom large. The time when the near-Satanic worship of perpetual growth was remotely credible has come to an end. The economist High Priests of this world-devouring, anti-human fantasy are in a similar position to those of the Aztecs as the sails of the Spanish galleons were sighted off the coast of South America; their world - with all its

magnificence and cruelty - is now doomed. 'Growth' must be replaced by steady state sustainability

Ironically, much of what we nationalists advocate - the reversal of globalisation, a return to more natural and local patterns of life, in everything from economics and food production to cultural identity - is going to come about anyway. Globalisation and greed for short-term profits were allowed to tear up much of what went before as a result of the conscious decisions of the liberal ruling elite, but little of what they did would have been practical or even possible had it not been for the 20,000 man-hours per barrel effectively-free energy bonanza of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century.

With all the best bits of the biggest free lunch in world history now already eaten, much of what happened while we were all happily gorging ourselves will now be slammed into reverse. The ruling elites will inevitably react by becoming less 'liberal', but there is nothing they can do to overcome the realities of geology, physics and biology. As James Kunstler explains in his book *The Long Emergency*: "We will be compelled by the circumstances of the long emergency to conduct the activities of daily life on a smaller scale, whether we like it or not."

That does not mean that it will be easy. Even if human ingenuity produced a really effective alternative tomorrow, the cost of writing off our huge collective investment in fossil carbon energy, and building the new infrastructure to replace it, would strain our already fractured and damaged society past many breaking points.

A few years ago a group of economists worked out that the cost of creating from scratch the railway network that was built with picks and shovels in Victorian times would bankrupt modern Britain. The building of a huge electrified rail system, covering areas not even reached by rail in the days before Dr. Beeching axed most of our branch lines, would be an undertaking on a similar sort of scale. But then we would have to find ways to generate the electricity needed to power such a system. So that's double bankruptcy.

At the same time a parallel technological and social revolution will be needed to replace the oil-based agri-business operations, both at home and abroad, that produce the vast majority of our food. It's not just a matter of fuel for tractors, it's essential for fertilisers, pesticides and processing as well. So that's triple bankruptcy. Not just for us, but for every advanced nation on earth, and every part of the underdeveloped world which has been colonised by the global 'cheap' food machine.

The perceptive reader may already be thinking by now of all the other gaping holes that will be torn in the fabric of our comfortable modern world by the inevitable decline in oil: Aviation and shipping; the



heating and lighting of our homes and workplaces; the manufacture of plastics and the many other essentials that use oil as a feedstock.

The fact is that oil energy cannot be adequately, let alone painlessly, replaced by any technology or combination of technologies either available to us now or likely to become available before we are a painfully long way down the depletion side of the oil production bell curve. To make matters even worse, the further we are down that slippery slope, the more our massively complex civilisation will suffer from disastrous unforeseen consequence breakdowns, and the less spare energy we will have available to invest in recovery technologies.

To put it at its bluntest, a population facing starvation is unlikely to be far-sighted enough to postpone the gratification of its hunger for fifteen years while investing in some miracle new technology that promises to solve all the problems of the survivors.

Forget all the silly conspiracy theories about the oil companies having bought or murdered the inventors of cars that run on water, or perpetual motion machines. Hydrogen-power (the technology at the root of the fuel-from-water fantasy) is not power at all but merely a way of storing and transmitting - not very efficiently - electricity that has already been generated in some other way. If any oil company had got hold of a perpetual motion machine it would already have it in production as it would be much more profitable than drilling for oil four miles below the ocean bed of the Gulf of Mexico, where rigs have to float in water three miles deep in planet earth's Hurricane Alley.

The Israeli military-industrial complex has been looking for technology to break the Arabs' oil stranglehold on the West for thirty years. If there was an easy answer to our disastrous addiction to oil they would already have found it and plunged Saudi Arabia, Iran and the Islamists back into the Camel Age. They haven't done because it's not there.

No one can possibly predict how

populations which take for granted standards of living and security unmatched in human history will react once those comfort blankets are torn from their spoilt fingers. Given that the Oil Shock of 1973 was caused by a gap between supply and demand of just five per cent, just a few years of oil depletion at a post-Peak rate of three per cent per year (compound) will plunge us into a totally new, and very cruel world. It may not quite be dominated by War, Famine, Pestilence and Death, but it certainly will reek of anger and fear.

The political consequences of such a seismic shift are obvious: Anything could happen, and all sorts of previously unthinkable things will. There will be wild electoral swings, with party after party being put into power on the back of the public's inability to grasp that the rising tide of problems in everyday life is structural, rather than the direct fault of whoever happens to be in charge at the time. From the long term point of view, of course, all this is indeed the fault of a short-termist, economic system, and of a succession of governments of all political persuasion which have greedily tried to ride the resource-gobbling capitalist and state capitalist tigers rather than putting them safely into a tiger reserve surrounded by the fences needed to curb their appetites.

But, now we're in this civilisation-wrecking mess, blaming politicians for not cutting fuel duty is utterly futile - though it is very justifiable to blame them if they persist in using such taxes to finance counter-productive Fantasy Politics games such as the creation of multi-cultural or federal EU utopias, rather than ploughing the money into renewable energy technologies or restructuring society to reduce our dependence on fossil fuels. Human nature and politics being what they

are however, such sensible long-term efforts are likely to be swamped by a succession of knee-jerk "we can return things to normal better than the other lot can" bidding wars for the right to rearrange the deckchairs on the Titanic.

Certain other things may be predicted with near certainty as well:

Peak Oil and Food will hit the poorest earlier and harder than the rest, both at national and international levels. This will lead to even more immigration pressure on wealthier nations that lack the will to defend their territory from 'refugee' invasion;

## ZERO TOLERANCE

There will be a rapid end to any remaining tolerance for free-loaders. Hand-outs to 'minorities' or the work-shy will become massively unpopular;

Provided they have sufficient military capability and willpower to preserve their territorial integrity, nations whose population was already in decline before the crisis broke will stand a far better chance of successful adaptation to the new times than those whose population is still rising. The most crucial factor of all in surviving the end of fossil fuels will not be the amount of energy a society can produce, but the amount it has per head of population;

There will be a massive change in food production techniques. Human labour will make a comeback as a major factor in farming; the only question being what form the shift away from highly mechanised agribusiness will take. If the current concentration of land ownership in the hands of corporations continues, the need for extra 'hands' will be met by a shift towards low wage labourers, sinking rapidly into neo-serf status in a new feudalism. If,

**"The age of two-digit oil prices is over, it will always be three digits. Opec can do nothing. Opec is reaching its peak production and there is no more that countries can produce. They are squeezing their rocks as hard as they can." - Shokri Ghanem, chairman, Libyan National Oil Company. Quoted in the *Financial Times*, June 13th 2008.**



on the other hand, a nationalist government comes to power in time to institute a well-planned move to reinstate the family farm as the foundation stone of British agriculture, then the Machine will be replaced not by the gang-master or slave-driver but by the farmer and the small-holder. As Hilaire Belloc concluded at the end of his Distributist masterpiece, *The Servile State*, "If we do not restore the institution of property, we cannot escape restoring the institution of slavery."

Even while their oil output is in decline, soaring prices will make OPEC countries will even wealthier and more powerful. Saudi Arabia, for example, is now buying up vast areas of farmland in other parts of world. The Gulf states are on a buying spree for the industrial and financial assets of the USA, UK and Japan;

Various alternative technological experiments will provide a succession of 'golden bullet' cures to all our ills. Some will prove worthless, others will provide partial replacements for aspects of our 20<sup>th</sup> century oil-guzzling ways. To give just one example, in 2007 the German shipping company Beluga announced that it was launching a container ship with a giant kite flying 1,000 feet above the bow. They estimated that the 1,100 square foot kite on its telescopic mast would cut fuel consumption by up to 30%. The world's other 50,000 container ships, however, continue to drink diesel at the same rate as they always have;

Increased transport costs will throw globalisation into reverse, with an increasing amount of goods being produced and sold, closer to their place of origin. This will affect the viability of factories in the Far East sending us widgets, just as much as of a bakery or brewery in Glasgow sending bread or beer to Glastonbury. After several generations of economies of scale leading to centralisation, localism now has fuel prices as well as sentiment and environmental considerations on its side;

Oil producers with large populations will hit major internal financial and social problems, leading to 'fourth generation' warfare guerrilla conflicts in places such as Mexico. This will make oil supplies even more unstable and lead to the destruction of infrastructure before its use is exhausted, but at such a late stage in its productive life that it will not be worth rebuilding;

The economic pain produced by all these problems will be so great that at times there will be short-term but drastic slumps in the price of oil and other commodities, something which will seriously hamper already capital-starved attempts to develop viable commercial alternatives. In China alone, mayhem involving either civil war or the invasion of her neighbours is the likely result when current expectations of perpetually improving living conditions for more than a billion people are dashed by the post credit-crunch West's inability to

keep consuming what they produce.

In all democracies there will be a 'churn' of short-lived governments of all political hues, each rapidly discredited by its failure to deliver on its promises of bread and jam and a return to the good old days. In parts of the world where democratic institutions are weak and already undermined by incipient totalitarianism (fundamentalist Islam in moderate Arab states, socialist federalism in the EU), the pre-existing ideological drive towards dictatorship may lead to the imposition of 'emergency' police state powers that 'suspend' democracy.

### PROACTIVE SOLUTION

None of the above is written with a view to making readers think that we can sit back and "wait for the collapse" a la Mosley or theoretical Marxists. Rather, the aim is to impress upon you the absolutely unprecedented nature of the opportunities which will arise for us in the very near future, and thus to stimulate even greater efforts to build the nationalist movement now, so as to be ready to seize those opportunities.

If we are to survive the enormous stresses produced by explosive growth we have to redouble our efforts to get in place the firm organisational foundations without which they are likely to prove fatal. If we are to gain the confidence of millions of worried voters we must already have shown that we provide a "safe pair of hands" in local government. If we are to overcome last ditch attempts to keep us out by failing and increasingly desperate elites, it is vital

that, alongside conventional political action, we continue and step up our experiments with counter-power operations such as deep community politics, coalition-building and the embryonic syndicalist trade union Solidarity.


We do not have very much time left. The energy crunch is shaping up to be a crisis of such magnitude that, unless addressed - rapidly - with all the seriousness and focus applied to winning the two World Wars, it could easily be something to which no solution can be found. It would be deeply ironic and disappointing to be propelled to power by the crisis, but then find it simply too late to do anything other than make the final arrangement of the deckchairs before our civilisation breaks its back and plunges into the icy depths of terminal disaster.

At present, it need not be like that. The BNP was the first political party in the world to understand what was coming and to raise the issue publicly. We remain - as far as we know - the only political party to have developed a mathematical equation to help in assessing our society's vulnerability to Peak Oil and the value of measures designed to address the problem.

In addition to this long-term grasp of the problem, many of the policies derived from our nationalist ideology and enshrined in the party's Statement of Principles could have been tailor-made to give Britain the best possible chance not only of surviving the Peak Oil crisis, but of actually turning it into an opportunity to build future that is actually better. Not, it is true, in straightforward material terms, but in every other measure of human happiness and system sustainability. As with so much we do, this is not about personal or party political ambition, it is about securing a future for our people.







# Race, Racism & Racialism



**A**n editorial in *The Independent* newspaper on May 21<sup>st</sup> 2008 blamed the recent outbreak of racial, ethnic and xenophobic violence in South Africa on economic inequality due to the legacy of apartheid.

Yet again the liberal left sought to blame whiteness for every problem and treat black Africans as mere infants unable to be responsible for themselves, even though the ANC have been in power in South Africa since the fall of apartheid in 1994.

This theory of liberal racism, where the white race is seen as uniquely evil and blamed for all the ills of the planet both past and present, is simultaneously balanced with a theory of liberal white supremacism. Liberal white supremacism is the liberal theory that the white race has a 'moral duty' in respect of its 'more advanced liberal culture of tolerance and equality' to spread the white liberal model all around the planet. This liberal white supremacism presupposes the 'inferiority' of all those racial and cultural groups who do not achieve the 'racial and cultural standards' of the white race and the white liberal model. Therefore all indigenous cultural, social, moral and political traditions must be surrendered to the superior white liberal model.

Liberalism is the ultimate model of imperialism, for it depicts its conquests as moral victories. Liberalism makes no sense, and as a result the only meaning found in modern liberal societies is consumerism.

Yet when racism occurs in the UK and is committed by poor white working class people against immigrant workers who are taking their housing, taking their jobs and lowering their wages, they are simply called evil. No excuses are ever made for the racism of the poorest and most excluded whites; excuses are only made for poor non-whites.

This racial disparity exists because the ideology of racism is political, not scientific. The liberal model of racism is simply an aspect of Marxism. It blames racism on economic inequalities. The idea that racism exists due to inequality is simply a Marxist ideological position. It implies that until the perfect communist state exists in all countries across the planet, racism will exist as an aspect of economic inequality. This belief is improvable ideological nonsense. It is the pseudoscience of socialism, communism and liberalism.

The fact is that even in both communist and socialist states there still existed the most vicious racists and racism. Stalin persecuted and purged Cossacks, Chechens and Jews; China wages war against ethnic Muslim separatists; Cambodia exterminated whole tribal groups. Communism had its own

» Lee Barnes says that even though we may deplore 'racism' it is a feature of all races, societies and even economic models. It is different to 'Racialism'. He discusses the implications, including the present colonisation of Great Britain.



pathology of racism as much as Capitalism and Consumerism. This is simply because the theory that races can live together is flawed.

## THE SOVIET CONNECTION

Ethnic minorities were deported and purged by Stalin in his great purges and murdered in Gulags and artificial famines under the process of Stalinist Russification, which opposed Leninist Russification which is the present model of Multiculturalism in the UK. As a form of Leninist Russification the nation state is seen solely as a Soviet union of various racial, ethnic, religious and cultural groups that each have been allowed to enter and to colonise Britain. No central national British narrative exists, and all the strands of British society are to be respected as equal (even though this depends upon the refusal to recognise history and the national historical narrative). This allows British society to be controlled by economic or political mechanisms based on the support of the soviet blocs within British society.

Stalin targeted the Volga Germans, Crimean Tatars, Chechens, Ingush, Balkars, Kalmyks, and others. Shortly after the war, he deported many Ukrainians and Balts to Siberia as well.

In every form of human society at every point in human history, racism has existed. Arab Muslims enslave and kill Africans in the Sudan, the Romans regarded the Kelts as barbarians, Zionist Jews regard the Palestinians as inferior, the



Rwandan Tutsis hated the Hutus and the British called the Germans 'the Huns' and dehumanised them in the First World War. During the Second World War the United States called the Japanese "yellow monkeys" in their propaganda and the Japanese called Whites "apes."

Racism is a feature of all races, human societies and economic models. Human beings are simply not designed by nature for racial assimilation. They are designed for racial differentiation.

Racism is caused when mono-racial nations and societies have racial diversity imposed upon them. Racism exists solely because races are forced together.

Economic inequality may increase racism but economic equality will never stop racism.

The only way to prevent racism is to recreate mono-racial nations and societies, or at the very minimum ensure that alien groups remain below a three percent social threshold to ensure that no future demographic and cultural clash between the different groups begins.

The spectre of racism shall continue to afflict all human societies until recognition of the racist principles occurs and is acted upon.

Race itself is not a product of false consciousness, false science or political ideology. Racial diversity exists as a scientific reality. It is theories of racial supremacism that are myths. In their own homelands into which they have evolved, the racial group created in that environment is better suited to survive in that environment. This is simply environmental and evolutionary science applied to humanity instead of animals.

Racial supremacism in all its forms is political: from the Nazis, Zionism, and the Nation of Islam to Stalinism.

### RACIALISM THE GREAT LIE

The attempt by the liberal left to conflate racism and racial supremacism with Racialism is the "Great Lie" that sustains the liberal paradigm. Race is the product of hundreds of thousands of years of human evolution.

Race exists at both the genetic level and the geographical. Different races exist because human beings evolved to adapt to different environments around the globe. In their own homelands each race is better adapted for survival.

To say this is not racism but Racialism is simply reality. Racialism itself is the natural tendency of like preferring to live with like. A few aberrant individuals in all human societies may seek out and enjoy the company of racial strangers, mainly because of their unique psychological issues or media conditioning, but most human beings prefer to stick with their own kind. Birds of a feather flock together as they say. Even in nature one never sees starlings roosting with sparrows or brown bears mating with black bears.

Racialism does not cause racism. Racism is caused by ignorance of the science and wisdom of Racialism. Racialism is simply the science, study and recognition that racial differences exist.

Racial differentiation is itself speciation in action. It is an ongoing evolutionary process, and recent research has shown that human evolution, racial differentiation and human speciation is



accelerating.

The reality of the fundamental racial differences between human beings cannot be consigned to the dustbin of history in the name of the illusion of ideological equality. These differences have real individual and social pathologies and impact in a plethora of ways upon racially mixed societies.

In times of economic prosperity these pathologies may be minimised and repressed to manageable levels, but in times of economic collapse they erupt and can devastate societies. The idea that racial integration could work is, after communism, the greatest myth of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. The downfall of the myth though may prove to be the trigger for the collapse of the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

### OUR DEFINITIONS

Here are the definitions we should be using:

- 1) Racialism is the study of the existence of human racial diversity and the genetic basis of racial differentiation and the scientific investigation into, and theories of, how race and racial diversity act as dynamics in human societies in respect of their impacts upon individuals, social groups, environment, cultures, social complexity and social/national cohesion.
- 2) Racism is a manifestation of individual actions and social pathologies. It is primarily caused as a product of political elites placing ideological theories before historical experience and natural laws as defined by Racialism. Racism is caused when politicians refuse to apply the logic and scientific wisdom of Racialism to human societies. Racism is group based competition played out at the level of individuals in human societies. Racism exists in all racial groups and also in all human societies.
- 3) Universal Racism exists and is created in human societies because races have been encouraged or allowed to miscegenate, integrate and assimilate throughout history. Universal Racism as manifested in the individual actions and political choices of individuals or race based groups cannot be disassociated from the wider historical, anthropological, social, racial, political, economic and cultural context of the nations and societies they exist within. This theory of universal racism applies to all human racial groups across all human evolution and all human societies, both primitive and advanced.
- 4) Racial supremacism is a political theory posited by one racial group in order for that racial group in any given society, either mono-racial or multiracial, to hold social dominance. All human racial groups have at some point in their histories and social models espoused a theory of racial supremacism. Racial supremacism theories are more prevalent in racially diverse societies especially multiracial and multicultural pluralistic democracies. This is simply because the model of multiculturalism rewards those groups within society that organise around the principle of ethno-communalism. Therefore the emphasis on ethnocentric group dynamics creates an ethnic consciousness within those groups that is susceptible to ethno-supremacism.







# Islam's Contribution to

A representative of the Muslim Council of Great Britain has recently suggested that the British Education Curriculum should devote an appropriate amount of space to "the contribution of Islam to Western Civilisation" which, it is claimed has been "huge." The liberal-left political elite talks about the "intellectual superiority" of Islam while its broadcasting arm, the BBC, in line with the Frankfurt School Neo-Marxist "Critical Theory," is ever ready to traduce and belittle the achievements of the West, Britain especially, in order to undermine it and make its transition into a multicultural, multiracial society easier. It therefore lauds other civilisations, Islam in particular, as conducive to this end.

So what has the contribution of Islam to Western Civilisation actually been? This is an important question, so let's look at it from a new angle. What have individual Moslem thinkers, artists and others given to Western Civilisation, taking (as T S Eliot did), culture, meaning "everything that makes life worth living," as being synonymous with civilisation? In his book *Human Accomplishment*, Charles Murray, co-author of *The Bell Curve*, has identified those individuals in history who have been engaged in "the pursuit of excellence in the Arts and Sciences, 800 BC to 1950" and

have contributed the most, *not just to Western Civilisation but to the world.*

In order to do this, Murray adopts the refreshingly direct, indeed empirical method (used by his predecessors in this field) of basing his assessment of human accomplishment on those individuals who have appeared in major reference books and studies, worldwide. These works can be used, first, to identify those people who are worthy of study, and second, to calibrate their eminence. Of course, Murray does not include every single individual who is mentioned in all the reference books. That would include the merely excellent as opposed to the indispensable. He has a cut-off point of 50%: anyone who is mentioned in at least 50% of his qualified sources is designated a significant figure, and enters his samples for analysis.

Murray deals with such matters as whether importance equals excellence in scientific accomplishment and the question of taste in the judgement of excellence in Art. He heads off, in persuasive fashion, the anticipated accusations of various 'isms': 'Eurocentrism', 'Sexism', 'Racism', 'Chauvinism' and 'Elitism', by pointing out that Japanese studies give much the same results as his own. The result of all this is a series of lists, graphs and bar-charts depicting the most influential figures in the

various branches of learning: Astronomy, Biology, Chemistry, Earth Sciences, Physics, Mathematics\*, Medicine, Technology, Combined Sciences, Chinese Philosophy, Indian Philosophy, Western Philosophy, Western Music, Chinese Painting, Japanese Art, Western Art, Arabic Literature, Chinese Literature, Indian Literature, Japanese Literature and Western Literature. Murray lists 4,002 *significant figures* in these lists, that is, those individuals of whatever ethnicity/cultural background who are significant in world terms. Of these, just 568, or 14%, are not Western, and 82, or a tiny 2%, are Arabic/Muslim. (\**The origin of mathematical zero as an indicator of null quantity is sometimes attributed to Muslim Arabs. It was developed centuries earlier in India and zero symbols are found in Alexandrian Greek documents.* - Editor)

## SCIENTIFIC DEVELOPMENTS

Murray also presents a list of significant events in the history of science since about 500 BC by combining events in nine chronologies such as that by Bernard Grun, in his book, *The Timetables of History*. In astronomy there are 57 such events. Only one, the Chinese description of sunspots in 165 BC, is not Western. There are two significant events ascribed to the Arabs





# the West

» Tim Heydon examines some of the claims made for Islam's alleged 'huge' contribution to the development of Western Civilisation and the promotion of these claims by the BBC.

/Muslims in Chemistry among the 70 listed: Jabir ibn Hayyan's preparation of acetic acid in AD 750, and the first production of concentrated alcohol by the distillation of wine in AD 900. There is no Arab/Muslim or non-Western contribution to the 47 significant events in the Earth Sciences, none in the 147 of Physics, none in the 64 of biology and none in the 68 of medicine. In mathematics, of the 102 central events in Mathematics, three are connected to Persia but are not necessarily Islamic. For example, Omar Khyayyam, who was the first to solve some cubic equations, was hostile to Islam. Taking into account Chinese and Indian contributions, only six central events in total are not Western. The Chinese figure in the early history of technology: they are responsible for 9 of the 119 central events. The rest, apart from the first known use of glass blowing in the Levant in 245 BC, and of parchment in Asia Minor in 200 BC, are Western.

Murray points out that whether measured in terms of people or events, 97% of accomplishment in the scientific inventories occurred in Europe and North America. Speaking as an American, and delivering an uppercut to militant feminism and others, Murray comes to the conclusion that "not only does Europe dominate the

narrative of human accomplishment, so does the minority that has become known in recent years as 'white males'." So we can conclude from Murray's analysis that the influence of Islam on Western culture has not been significant, while the influence of the West on the world has been overwhelming.

Murray's approach (it will be argued by those BBC self-haters and other neo-Marxist liberal-leftists in the political elite) only describes the influence of Islamic *individuals* up to the recent past. It does not describe how Islam has *collectively* influenced the West in history as a religion and a culture. Wasn't there a time (it will be said), when Islam, flushed with the confidence and conquest of the new faith, went through a period known as its 'Golden Age'? They will say that the *aggregate* of individual contributions by Muslims (even if separately these were in Murray's terms merely excellent rather than significant) combined with the circumstances of the so-called European 'Dark Ages', were indeed of the highest significance to the development of the West.

## PASSING ON GREEK LEARNING

Well, there was such a period and it should be acknowledged that the West does owe

something to Islam for helping to pass on Greek learning and for adding to it. But the case should not be overstated. Murray himself is generous. He writes: "For a few centuries at the turn of the first millennium Islam presided over a burst of exuberant scientific and philosophical enquiry. It began with the translation of the Greek and Roman manuscripts which had lain forgotten for centuries. It then went beyond translation, producing a large body of original work in mathematics, chemistry, astronomy, optics and philosophy, among other fields."

Others though, take a different view. The Islamic 'Golden Age', says Serge Trifkovic (*The Sword of the Prophet*), was 'golden' only on its own terms, "that is, in comparison with the rest of Islamic history ... no self-respecting Western Islamophile would ever admit to this." Trifkovic continues, "Whatever flourished, it was not by reason of Islam; it was in spite of Islam. ... In Islam's 'Golden Age' there was a lot of speculation and very little application, and for a thousand years, even speculation has stopped... A number of medieval thinkers and scientists living under Islamic rule, by no means all of them Muslims either nominally or substantially, ... contributed in making Aristotle known to the Christian Europe... They merely



transmitted what they had received from Christian sources. Moreover, the Aristotle who finally gained recognition in Christian Europe was not the Arabian Aristotle but the Greek Aristotle, who came to Western Europe by way of Constantinople, largely by Byzantine Greeks fleeing the (Muslim) Ottoman onslaught."

Further, in their book, *The Suicide of the West*, Richard Koch and Chris Smith (the erstwhile New Labour Culture Minister), whilst acknowledging the beauty of Islamic cities like Granada with the exquisite courts, pools and paradisaical gardens of the Alhambra and their roles as centres of learning, quote the 'devout' scholar Caesar E Farah. He says, "The early Muslim thinkers took up philosophy where the Greeks left off... in Aristotle the Muslim thinkers found the great guide... Muslim philosophy... in subsequent centuries they merely chose to continue in this vein rather than to innovate." Phillipe Nemo, Professor of Philosophy and the History of Ideas at the ESCP-EAP European School of Management and a leader in this field, tells us (*What is the West?*) that the West's debt to Islam is not great, at least with regard to values. He writes that,

"Undeniably, Islamic philosophy received stimulating nourishment from Greek philosophy, but its interest was more for metaphysics and mysticism, neither of which in my view played a very important part in the shaping of modern Western values."

#### SO-CALLED 'DARK AGES'

Nor were the Dark Ages from which Islam is claimed to have rescued the West as dark as they have been painted. Rodney Stark, Professor of Social Sciences and Comparative Religion at the University of Washington, points out (*For the Glory of God*) that while Europe's leading

Europe's leading scholars were not well versed in Plato and Aristotle, they were not barbarians. During the 'Dark Ages', Europeans rejected slavery, which in the circumstances of the time meant rejecting it universally. This did not occur in Islam and Mohammed himself bought, sold, captured and owned slaves.

This was also one of the great inventive eras of mankind. Machinery was developed and put into use on a scale no civilisation has previously known. One such invention was the proper harnessing of horses where a rigid, well-padded collar puts the weight on a horse's shoulders rather than the neck, enabling a horse to pull as much as an ox and twice as fast, greatly increasing productivity. 'Dark Age' Europeans also developed iron shoes for horses, effective watermills, camshafts, mechanical clocks, the compass and so on. Many of these were original inventions, but what was remarkable was the way in which Europeans realised the full potential of inventions even when they were imported. Gunpowder, for example, first seen in Europe in 1300 or so, was Chinese, but where the Chinese used it only for fireworks, the Europeans immediately applied it to gunnery. By 1325 guns existed all over Europe.

European inventiveness saved Europe from Islam in the end. In 732, Charles Martel led a Frankish army in the battle of Tours (or Poitiers) which decisively defeated the Muslim Saracens. His army was better armed and armoured than any the Greeks or Romans had fielded. The lightly armed Saracens could not dent the chain-mailed Frankish infantry and were put to the route with "the first ever appearance on a major battlefield of knights in full armour" made the more formidable with stirrups and the Norman saddle with its very high pommel and curved cantle. These inventions, including the armoured knight himself which the Muslims did not possess, enabled the knight to brace against the shock when his lance struck home and to withstand it.

Why did the intellectual activity of the Islamic 'Golden Age' wither? The knee-jerk reaction of the BBC and the rest of the





political class is to blame the West. They are embarrassed by the overwhelming success and influence of the West because it is a standing denial of their fatuous and essentially immature idea of 'equality'. The glorious civilisation of Islam, they say, was brought to decline by the oppression of the West whose aggressiveness stultified it. But this idea, like much else in leftist thought, is pure ignorant, biased fantasy. When civilisation flourished in the Islamic 'Golden Age', it did so in spite of that religion, and also withered because of it.

The reasons for the decline of learning and the arts of civilisation in Islam and the reason why the culture of the West dominated and continues to dominate the world, illustrate further how little the West owes to Islam. It owes much more to Islam's great rival: Christianity, which is the true foundation and core of Western civilisation. The problem with Islam arises from its very nature. The Qur'an is supposed to be the actual words of God as dictated to Mohammed. The second verse of the Qur'an claims that it is "the Scripture whereof there is no doubt." There was therefore a strong tendency in Islam to an unquestioning literalism. So Islam like Judaism, tended to avoid theological discussion and concentrated on scripture as law to be understood; that is, on the right practice of religion and the regulation of community life. This literalism and focus on the practice of itself tended to close Islam off from enquiry into anything else. Everything worth knowing was to be found in the Qur'an.

In contrast, Jesus wrote nothing. The Christian Scriptures are an anthology of his remembered sayings and doings. St Paul was the first to state what this meant when he said, "For our knowledge is imperfect and our prophesy is imperfect." So from the

first, the church fathers were forced to mull over the implications of the Scriptures. This led to a theology of inference and deduction of Reason. Reason, the fathers said, could lead to an increasingly accurate understanding of God's will. The Christian image of God therefore is that of a rational being who believes in human progress. He reveals himself more fully as human beings gain the capacity to understand. And as God is rational, his creation, the universe, is also rational, having a lawful, stable structure which awaits increased human comprehension. This has led to many intellectual undertakings, not least the rise of Science. Alfred North Whitehead, co-author with Bertrand Russell of *Principia Mathematica*, pointed out in a view which is largely accepted by scholars today, that modern science, requiring the method of observation, hypothesis and testing, came about because medievalism thought it possible to discover the will of a rational God in his rational, stable creation, Nature. "To search into Nature could only result in the vindication of the faith in Rationality," he said in a Harvard Lowell lecture in 1922.

#### ISLAMIC VIEW OF GOD


Although Islam, like the West, had access to Greek learning, the Islamic view of God stopped Islam from developing the modern scientific method, as the Greek view of the gods stopped the ancient Greeks from developing it. Ancient Greece, Islam and other civilisations such as those of China, India, and Rome had a highly developed alchemy. Only in Europe did alchemy develop into chemistry, for which Christianity was necessary. Islam and these other civilisations, have or had images of the gods which were too impersonal and too irrational to have sustained science.

Indeed many non-Christian religions do not posit a creation at all. As Stark says in *The Victory of Reason*, for them, the universe is eternal. While it may pursue cycles, it is without beginning or purpose and most important of all, not being created; it has no Creator. Consequently the universe is thought of as being a supreme mystery, inconsistent, unpredictable and arbitrary. For those holding these religious premises, the path to wisdom is through meditation and mystical insights, and there is no occasion to celebrate reason. Any particular occurrence might be due to the fiat of an irrational despot god, or might have been produced by some impersonal, inscrutable origin of things. There is not the same confidence in the intelligible rationality of a personal being, as in Christianity.

After faith in Reason, which Stark calls "the most significant feature of Western civilisation," a second great gift of Christianity to the West is its assumption of Progress, which Stark says "may be the most critical difference between Christianity and all other religions. With the exception of Judaism, all the other great faiths have conceived of history as either an endlessly repeated cycle or inevitable decline." Mohammed is reported to have said, "The best generation is my generation, then the one that follows that, then the ones that follow that." In contrast, Judaism and Christianity have sustained a directional conception of history, culminating in the millennium. As John McMurray puts it, "That we think of progress at all shows the extent of the influence of Christianity upon us." Without the idea of progress, there can be no hope of it as such. The Christian commitment to rationality made progress possible, and not only spiritual progress through an increasing knowledge of God. St Augustine celebrated not only theological progress, but also earthly, material progress.

A third great gift of Christianity to the West was the rise of individualism. Individuals have, of course, always thought about themselves and had their hopes, their fears and everything else that makes up an individual. What Christianity gave is the Western sense of individualism, based on the concept that an individual has free will to make choices, including moral choices. Educated Greeks and Romans were not unfamiliar with the concept of free will but freedom of the will is uniquely fundamental to the Christian faith. Yes, some Protestant sects accepted predestination - that God has foreordained what we do - but for the most part in Christianity every person is responsible for his or her own soul. St Augustine stressed again and again that while God knows what we freely decide to do, he does not interfere. It is up to the individual freely to accept or reject Jesus' injunction to "Go and sin no more."

Freedom of the will is a concept which is incompatible with the kind of fatalism which is found in Islam, where everything,







including whether or not an individual sins and is doomed to hell or to paradise, or is afflicted, is decreed by God in advance. This fatalism is a source of the dreadful inertia to be found in Islamic societies. On the other hand, the Christian hope of progress towards the better world of the Kingdom of God activated by love for one's fellows as equally loved by God (Allah is loveless), underlies the uniquely Christian activism evident in the social and political spheres. This has been responsible for innumerable social and political advances now taken for granted.

#### ELEMENTS OF CIVILISATION

Western Civilisation may be summarised by making use of the scheme adopted by Phillipe Nemo, which is an evolutionary unfolding based on its key historical periods or elements:

- 1) The ancient Greek invention of the *Polis* - the City; liberty under the rule of law, critical enquiry, education. Islam could contribute nothing to this.
- 2) The Roman invention of law, private property, the individual, humanism. Again, Islam contributed nothing to this.
- 3) The revolution of the Bible in ethics and its founding role in the idea of History and Progress. Once more, Islam contributed nothing to this.
- 4) The achievement of the Middle Ages in synthesising Greece, Rome and Christianity, thus marrying the Greek spirit

of enquiry with biblical ethics and the coming Kingdom of God and bringing them into the idea of history. Islam proved itself incapable of any such synthesis. It had a role, but not a unique or essential one, in transmitting Classical learning to the West, but it gave nothing of significance to the West.

5) The great revolutions fostering liberal democracy which liberated the domains of science, politics and economics, allowing the West gradually to achieve the unrivalled development which gave birth to modernity. These achievements were of and belong to the West and to nobody else.

Neo-Marxist 'intellectual' Islamophiles think of Islam as intellectually superior to Christianity, chiefly because in Islam Allah decrees what is right and what is wrong. There are no moral absolutes, which is a view which chimes with leftist nihilism and moral relativism. In Christianity, God is good and goodness is love. If the ability to contribute to the sum of human happiness and advance is any guide, then it is leftist nihilism, like the idea of God in Islam, which is complete and total nonsense, like much else in their ideology.

As we can see from the above, Islam, like the other cultures which supposedly 'enriched' the West, contributed nothing of significance to the evolution of the West and therefore the world, and this was the case because, like them, it had, and has, nothing of significance to contribute. Islam's very nature inhibited it from producing anything of this kind. Islam's view of God as inspired by Mohammed has

led it to seven centuries of almost total cultural stagnation and medieval barbarism. Christianity, on the other hand, has been primarily responsible for the most rapid advance of civilisation in history. The proof of the pudding is in the eating.


Is there anything at all that the West can learn from Islam? Yes, there is. While Islam is advancing on all fronts including in Europe, the heartland of its ancient rival and enemy, Western Civilisation, is in plain decline. The lesson is that Islam's focus on the spiritual gives it a determination not just to survive but to overcome and dominate. It believes in itself, notwithstanding its utter failure in other spheres. The West seems set to disappear as a civilisation, notwithstanding its incredible success, because the spiritual has been stripped from the gifts that Christianity brought and which created it: faith in reason, the idea of progress in history and also individualism. These, now called Liberalism and unrestrained by religion and tradition, are in the process of destroying Western Civilisation.

It is disintegrating before our eyes like a body whose vital organs are being eaten away by new cancerous cells. Unrestrained reason and individualism in the service of 'Progress' are producing a materialist nightmare, stripping people of the sanctity and dignity of their humanness, by, for example, encouraging abortion on demand, humans as raw material for the genetics industry and the destruction of the nations in which individuals feel rooted, comfortable and at home. In that way lies totalitarianism and human beings seen only as expendable slaves of the state. Unfettered individualism has transmuted into deep selfishness and disconnectedness, helping to produce sordid amorality and social alienation whose moral and spiritual vacuity is 'filled' by a nauseating, showy, shallow sentimentalism and guzzling consumption.

The dazzling rise of the West under the influence of Christianity, and its decline as its grip has been loosened, points to a highly significant truth seen by T S Eliot (*Notes Towards the Definition of a Culture*), who identified or equated a culture (or civilisation) with its religion, as did Toynbee. Sorokin, the pioneering Harvard sociologist and historian, devoted his life to its implications, spelling them out in his book, *The Crisis of Our Age*. Eliot said, "No culture can appear or develop except in relation to a religion... One cannot be preserved without the other." For that reason, he added, secularism and 'cosmopolitanism' are doomed to failure.

There has never been a secular civilisation and there never can be one. What religion, then, will the civilisation of Britain be based on in the future? Let us fervently hope that, notwithstanding the deep foolishness, ignorance and treachery of our rulers, it is not Islam.






## Great Tales from English History

by Robert Lacey

This volume begins in 7150 B.C. with the life and death of the Cheddar Man and ends in 1381 with Wat Tyler and the Peasants' Revolt. Packed with insight, humour, and fascinating detail, Robert Lacey brings the stories that made England brilliantly to life. From Ethelred the Unready to Richard the Lionheart, the Venerable Bede to Piers the Ploughman, this is, quite simply, history as history should be told. *Hardcover, 254 pp. £14.99*



## A Race Against Time: Racial Heresies for the 21st Century


Edited by George McDaniel

Our civilization give way before waves of Third-World newcomers? This book is a collection of articles from *American Renaissance*, the leading journal of racial-realist thinking in the United States. That country has tried - more than any other in the world - to build a multi-cultural state, and has failed. These authors Samuel Francis, Jared Taylor, Richard Lynn, Michael Levin, and others reject today's racial orthodoxy. *Paperback, 331 pp, £8.00*

**Order Hotline: 0871 050 0242**

Online: <http://excalibur.bnp.org.uk>

PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW - Please make cheques/postal orders payable to "Excalibur"



**Excalibur**



Perhaps the oldest law of warfare is "divide and conquer." Split or fragment your opponent into several smaller parts and each becomes easier to destroy. Unfortunately for the British people our enemies are fully utilising this tactic and have, to date at least, had some significant success with it.

Since 1707 the British Isles have been more or less united in the political and cultural sense. The individual nations of England, Wales, Scotland, Ulster and Ireland stood side by side throughout the ensuing centuries, withstood colossal wars and built the largest and most benign Empire in the world's long history. Whether in the trenches of Flanders or on the beaches of D-Day, in the jungles of the Far East or on mighty battleships on the high seas, the peoples of the Britannic islands, including many Irish volunteers, stood firm and united and mightily proud of their shared history and values.

To overcome the unity of the British people (which is the source of our strength), our enemies have persistently promoted the chauvinist and divisive politics of the formerly fringe 'nationalist' parties in the component nations of the United

Kingdom (except of course England), helped in no small part by Hollywood blockbusters like *Braveheart*. For internationalists, EU fanatics and those on the Left, working in unison with globalist finance, the ultimate goal is to split the UK into much smaller and easily controlled micro-parts. The way they strive to achieve this is to artificially inflame regional, national and ancient bigotry and let ignorance and stupidity run its course.

In Wales and Scotland especially, the efforts by our enemies to "divide and conquer" the British peoples have spawned the emergence of entirely phoney 'nationalist' parties. These parties shout the slogans of national patriotism and cultural and regional assertiveness, but under the surface and into the manifestos we delve and we uncover the same liberal-Marxist treason and racial-suicide wrapped in the colours of the native flag.

The British National Party, ever since it was founded, has opposed the tendencies towards regionalism and inter-British chauvinism; we have always been the foremost bastion of British unity. Nothing will ever change this, regardless of whether or not, for tactic reasons, we employ greater use of regional language and

# Civic Nationalism

## Unmasked

» Paul Golding warns that Nationalists living in England should not be deluded by the English Democrats fringe party







It's great supporting England, but you must also have a concept of what it is to be English

**Matt O'Connor - claims to stand for England but wants integration**



flags.

Every action produces a reaction. The rise of the phoney 'nationalist' parties in Wales and Scotland has led to an enormous rise of the same short-sighted and ill-conceived 'nationalism' in England. The BNP has never condoned or celebrated this phenomenon; merely it has attempted to harness it back towards the greater good of the unity of the Britannic peoples. This explains the BNP's sensible adoption of the call for an English parliament. This will not promote the internecine trend towards regional bigotry, but diffuse it by evening out the unbalanced political situation within the United Kingdom. All the while Scotland and Wales have autonomous political institutions, there are going to be calls for a counter-part in England. This will always contribute to regionalist chauvinism.

Because the BNP resists the enemy induced march towards regionalism, there has appeared on the fringe of the political scene a tiny party called the 'English Democrats' (we use quotation marks to demonstrate our scorn for such a name). This party wants St. George's Day turned into a national holiday. It sings the praise of England's heritage, "a green and pleasant land that gave the world the English language, democracy, the mother of parliaments and the Magna Carta." They whinge and complain about the English money sent north to subsidise Scotland. They call for an English parliament and so on. While the BNP supports all these laudable policies, we pick an issue with them over the basic fundamentals of how they interpret nationhood and what constitutes 'England'.

The BNP is not opposed to the resurrection of England to its former glory and majesty; indeed, at least speaking for the English members of our party, this is the cause to which we have dedicated our lives. This cause, however, can only be realised within the mighty framework of a united Britain, standing together side by side like our ancestors at the Somme, Rorke's Drift and in the skies over southern England in 1940.

#### INSINCERE NATIONALISM

Is the 'nationalism' of the English Democrats sincere or just more of the same old divisive regional factionalism? Reading through their manifesto one will find laudable calls for an England restored to beauty, "a green and pleasant land," but look hard enough and the tell tale signs are there for all to see. There are calls for strong immigration controls, a points-based system for newcomers, and deportation of illegal immigrants. But elsewhere the language changes: "It is a fact that during the past forty years people of many different cultures have come to live in England. Our country is in that sense a multicultural society." So for all the sloganeering and posturing, the 'English' Democrats concede that our country is a "multicultural society!"

The phoney, civic nature of their 'nationalism' is further revealed when they attempt to define "the people of England." "The people of England are all those UK citizens who live in England. In electoral terms, the people of England are all those UK citizens who are on the electoral roll of an English constituency. The people of England therefore includes the people of many nations, all of whom share a common UK citizenship." In other words, hold a UK passport and you qualify as 'English', regardless of racial background or native ancestry, according to the 'English' Democrats!

In the recent London mayoral elections, the English Democrat candidate Matt O'Connor wrote the following in the official election booklet delivered to all voters within the capital: "I'm proud to be a Londoner. Proud to stand for integration, not segregation." What on earth does this statement mean? He neither explains nor elaborates. Reading it and attempting to interpret it, one can only come to the conclusion that by supporting "integration" he stands for racial integration between the native white British and the hordes of Third-World foreigners that have turned London into a Babylon of the modern age. Being opposed to "segregation" can only mean that he would be against the



native English taking back possession of our ancient capital city before it is completely colonised and turned into a Bombay or a Mecca on English soil.

Desperate to seek out exactly what this grouplet really stands for, the author decided to ring their main contact phone line and throw down the gauntlet. On the receiving end a courteous lady was completely taken aback with my forthright question: "Do the English Democrats 1) consider the UK a multiracial/multicultural society, 2) stand for a white England only, and 3) what is your stance on race?" Several days had passed before I received the shocking reply from the English Democrat national secretary Steve Uncles by email:

"Dear Paul, As well as closing the door for any more immigrants, we must ensure that those legally here are integrated into society, and can speak English! The English Democrats believe that England can work as a 'multiracial' society, however we do not believe it can work as a 'multicultural' society. In terms of 'race' we wish to concentrate on 'English culture' rather than race. Kind regards, Steve Uncles."

### CULTURAL NATIONALISM ONLY


This brand of phoney, colour-blind, cultural nationalism should be repellent to genuine patriots. It is a common feature of civic 'nationalist' parties that underneath all the patriotic gimmicks and calls for independence and micro-cultural primacy, they have the same resolute failure to understand what really constitutes being patriotic. They all seem ignorant to the fact that a nation in all its manifestations, cultural and political, is the product of its native *people*. Their stance implies that if a second generation Somalian holds a UK passport, he has exactly the same stake in this country as a descendent of the Duke of Wellington (as long as he celebrates St. George's Day we suppose!)

The BNP takes the opposite view. Regardless of where you are born, or whether you have got your mitts on a UK passport, you are not British unless you are a descendent of the native white European tribes of the British Isles. British army officers who watched as their British wives gave birth at their military base in Bangalore did not consider their offspring as Indian, simply because that was where they were born. If Admiral Nelson had been born in Mongolia, would the history books refer to him as Mongolian? If Genghis Khan possessed a valid French passport, would we read about him as one of history's most brutal Frenchmen?

The enemies of patriotism use two distinct tactics to immobilise racial instinct: Firstly, they make the issue taboo, by ostracising and demonising the exponents of racial and cultural awareness. Secondly, and perhaps more effectively, they sow confusion on the issue. This is why we hear "Britain is a nation of immigrants," and "what does it mean to be British" so often. The civic 'nationalists' form part of this swindle; only the British National Party holds fast to the true meaning of nationhood. This is what sets us apart from them.

A 'nation' is more than the arrangement of certain colours and shapes on a piece of cloth (i.e. a flag). It's more than merely the soil of a certain region on Planet Earth, more than the celebration of a public holiday, and certainly more than the primacy of the native mother tongue. All these coincidental things could be stripped away and still the 'nation' would exist, because the nation is the *people*. It is the English people that created this great and unique nation. Without the desire and intent to protect the *racial heritage* of the native people you're simply no better than the average left-wing traitor.

We are under no illusion as to what it really means to be British, even if the English Democrats, the Lib-Lab-Con old gang, and the mass media are. Asians and Africans, no matter how good as people they may be, will never be truly British, regardless of the futile efforts of treasonable Establishment parties and their fellow tribes-people in the civic nationalist fringe to 'integrate' them.



# Towards a Renaissance

**T**he Renaissance was and is a myth, one of those useful ideas which help men to master their own bearings and therefore act more effectively. It can be interpreted as "a bringing to life again," or conceivably the "rebirth of civilisation." To speak of the Renaissance may be helpful if we keep in mind the limitations of the context in which we use the word, but it is to misrepresent history if we take it to imply a transformation of culture signifying a radical break from one period to the next. There is no clear line in history that separates anything.

**Civilisation:** In contrast to an abrupt rebirth is that slow, patient building up of knowledge which makes man. What then is knowledge? It is something much greater than mere book learning; it is *knowing how to live*, not hand to mouth in the prehistoric way of our ancestors, but finely, graciously, and bravely. Much of this knowledge is to be found in books, because books act as storehouses containing all that man has thought, felt, discovered and achieved. On the other hand, the world about us is an open book where he who runs may read. Observation of our surroundings together with the application of sound critical analysis is capable of teaching us as much if not more than all the books or all the sages, or I'll venture to add, all the politicians.

**Enemies of Civilisation:** Advance is often interrupted, held up and sometimes almost swept away by all kinds of setbacks. Flood, fire, famine, earthquake and disease for instance, but the worst enemy of civilisation is man himself. War, greed, lust for power, and religion have done more than all the rages of Nature to suspend progress, often destroying completely the patient work of centuries. Nevertheless, civilisation has never quite died, and will not unless man utterly betrays his own instincts, but he has a hard task before him in overcoming all these enemies of civilisation, and above all avoiding setbacks of his own making.

**Barbarian Invasion:** One such setback occurred during the time known as the decline and fall of the Roman Empire. Goths, Vandals, Lombards and Franks emerging from their comparatively primitive dwellings in North and Eastern Europe hurled themselves upon the frontiers of the Empire. The greatness that was Rome crumbled away like a sand castle before an advancing tide, and along with Rome the civilisation that Europe had been patiently building through the centuries also collapsed.

Demolition of the realm was not restricted to a simple physical level, devastating though it was. Ignorance, greed and



# Modern ce

» Bea Kaye looks at the early assault on Western Civilisation which brought Europe to the Dark Ages and defines how we need a new Renaissance to counter the effect of our civilisation's new adversaries.

lack of understanding characterised the soul of these invaders as they surveyed the rich provinces before them. Absence of knowledge in the science of engineering, for example, guaranteed certain failure in maintaining or repairing Roman walls, roads, bridges and aqueducts. Lack of understanding of Roman law and government quickly culminated in violence, bloodshed, chaos and misrule. Oblivious of books and writing, the Barbarians couldn't understand that the manuscripts tossed gleefully onto bonfires were storehouses of knowledge. Unskilled in nothing but the crudest craftsmanship, paintings, vases and beautiful statues were no more than targets on which to hone their skills in archery.

**Dark Ages:** The clock was set back a thousand years and twilight settled over a whole continent. It was not until the advent of King Charlemagne in 771, a reformer, and often referred to as the "Father of Europe," that the gloom began to lift. The long dawn of the Middle Ages presaged a new day. Much was lost and irretrievably destroyed, but it was lost only in the sense that it was buried and forgotten; it would come to light again one day.

In Western Europe priests and monks of the Roman Christian Church, holding aloft in that darkness the torch of Christian conduct and Roman law, saved something from the wreckage. In monasteries and priestly libraries, priceless manuscripts lay hidden and neglected even by the monks themselves, for the Church was primarily concerned with religion and conduct. Secular and scientific learning came a long way behind.

The precursors of the Renaissance mentioned above resonate uncannily with events overwhelming us today in Britain and Europe. Civilisation and all it stands for has entered into a regressive chapter and regrettably may have to get worse before it improves. The modification of society and culture has been

sinister, insidious and entirely manmade; those who lead are choosing to ignore the lessons of history for their own gratification heedless of the native population and its plight. The major difference between the crushing of the Roman Empire by barbarian hordes and what faces us presently from the implacable advance of Islam beggars belief altogether. Unlike the past, today's adversaries had an invitation, and are now busily engaged in destroying us from the inside with every facility at their disposal courtesy of the government.

Universally we face global warming, a food shortage, economic recession, increased crime rates, and corruption on a grand scale by our leaders. Our own diminutive island being reflective of some, if not all, of these conditions could be said on account of its small size to suffer more acutely than other countries.

The trouble centres both in those who rule and those who are ruled. Mankind has apparently ceased to dominate circumstances. We have become hapless fatalities drifting into the position of willing victims of Fate.

## DOMINANT POWER

It is all wrong. It is wickedly wrong, since man has the power to dominate circumstances to a much greater degree than he did in the past. He can fashion his own future, and even create his own present. A nation, no less than an individual, possesses the willpower, the ability to learn from experience, and the authority to choose whether the world shall be hideous or handsome.

From the government downwards there is a vague belief that we are helpless in the grip of forces we cannot control. Such an attitude gathers force from its own existence. The longer it persists the deeper its roots go. Our current position is serious and has to be reckoned with, *but it must be regarded as a starting point, not as a conclusion.*

Tradition, habit, custom and culture bind a nation. Fragmentation of these elements is not by any means an inevitability; the rot can be reversed. What is essential now is a mental revolution, a complete break from the erroneous ideology of the last three decades, and an approach to our problems with minds free from politically correct preconceptions: a renaissance of thought. Moral courage is the lever that moves the world or a nation towards better things.

The British National Party, together with its own Scottish Office, is not referred to as "the quiet revolution" arbitrarily. There is a source of strength in the love of one's country that bids a man stand firm in the face of death itself. Some sneer, others applaud. Those who understand admire in silence and quietly get on with the job towards creating a contemporary renaissance and rebirth of our nation.





**Badges - Available  
Now From Excalibur**

**£2.50**

Each (inc. P&p)

**Order Hotline: 0871 050 0242**

Online: <http://excalibur.bnp.org.uk>

PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW - Please make cheques/postal orders payable to "Excalibur"



**Excalibur**



## Stickers



[www.greatwhiterecords.com](http://www.greatwhiterecords.com)

**Order Hotline: 0871 050 0242**

**£1.50 (£5.00 for 10)**

## GWR Pen



**£1.20**

## West Wind Poster

Order online at [www.greatwhiterecords.com](http://www.greatwhiterecords.com), or you can send a cheque made payable to 'Great White Records' to: PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW.

**£5.00**

## Mouse Mats



**£3.75**





# John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

## Bosses Join the Unemployment Line

Continuing the theme in this month's editorial that we can expect little salvation by voting Tory, a message arrives from the United States. As our manufacturing continues to decline (and remember it all started in the Thatcher/John Major era) and spreads to the service industries that were supposed to save all those jobs, it will be management, traditional Tory voters, who will also be joining the great unemployed.

In a report by David Knox in the May 25<sup>th</sup> issue of Ohio's *Beacon Journal* he found that management positions in this former American industrial heartland were being cut more than any other job group. And before you read on remember the old adage that when America sneezes Britain gets pneumonia.

An analysis of U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics prepared by the *Beacon Journal* showed that more than 163,000 management jobs of all types were eliminated in Ohio from 2000 through to the end of 2007. The jobs ranged from chief executives to supervisors on the shop floor. That total is nearly 40% of all jobs lost, by far the most for any occupational group. The next highest category hit were production jobs which lost at almost 30%.

Very important to us on this side of the Atlantic is that the promise of advanced technology jobs failed to materialise and that employment in high-tech industries has declined substantially nationwide.

Did not Blair and Brown in the honeymoon days of New Labour promise us that those lost jobs in the old industries were going to be replaced with a growing high-tech industry?

Returning to Ohio, the *Beacon* report says that the immediate impact of so many management jobs vanishing goes beyond the raw counts because these positions typically pay far better than most occupations: nearly \$67,000 a year. It then adds:

"But the long-range effects may be even worse because losses in the manager ranks are probably permanent; they represent a radical change in the way businesses handle economic downturns not just in Ohio, but across the United States."

## Bulk of Immigrants are Non-Europeans

Led by the BBC, a considerable part of the media has been giving the impression that immigration is now coming mainly from Eastern Europe, which we cannot control as we are part of the EU. The reason for this media campaign is that the Labour government wishes to fool the electorate that it is controlling non-EU immigration, a myth that not only the Lib-Dems but also the Cameronite Tories will accept as they eagerly compete with each other to show their non-racist qualifications. The Lib-Lab-Cons have all in varying degrees suggested that there is no point in immigration controls that do not apply to EU citizens.

That it is a myth has been revealed by the figures published by

MigrationwatchUK on June 1st. Of the 2.3 million immigrants arriving in Britain between 1991 and 2006, only 8 per cent were from Europe. We would recognise that this percentage is now higher because immigration from the East European nations did not start until they began to join the EU in 2004. However, as the UK's credit squeeze and unemployment rises, many Poles and

other Eastern Europeans are going back home. This is not the case with the almost one million immigrants from the Indian sub-continent for the period, or the half million from Africa.

Perhaps the above was one of the reasons why a recent parliamentary inquiry let slip that official statistics on the hundreds of thousands of short-term immigrants are "not fit for purpose." A Treasury Sub-Committee said that the counting system is so unreliable that it is not even possible to know the true population of Britain. It warned that this failure to correctly count the number of foreigners coming into Britain is putting unfair pressure on many local authorities and taxpayers.

The Office of National Statistics (ONS) estimated that there were only 43,000 short-term migrants in England and Wales in the year proceeding June 2005, with just 16,000 of these in Greater London. But MPs pointed out that other measures of the workforce suggest a much higher number. For example, there were 235,640 new national insurance number registrations in Greater London alone during 2005/06.

## Let Us Praise Our Soldiers

As we so often criticise the media, let us at least acknowledge that various newspaper campaigns, and ITV programmes (but not BBC) have played their part in raising the public's awareness of the shoddy treatment our armed services personnel have received in New Labour's wars. At a time when our dead in Afghanistan has passed the 100 mark, let our servicemen and women know that it was the BNP who campaigned for better treatment and acknowledgement of their value to our nation several years ago when it was not so fashionable to do so.

The BNP has continually campaigned for better equipment, yet it is a disgrace that our soldiers in Afghanistan and Iraq, fighting two wars that serve no British interests, are still partly dependent on the ubiquitous Land Rover as a battle vehicle. Many have been destroyed in both Iraq and Afghanistan by ambush with Iran-made roadside bombs, leaving the occupants either dead or crippled for life. It is the pure fighting ability of our troops in Afghanistan overcoming the handicap of shortages of helicopters and other equipment that has enabled them to claim that they have defeated the Taliban militarily. Politically it is another matter outside the scope of this article.

Our service troops do all this for a salary that is less than that of a traffic warden. It is not only their pay that should be increased but the medical treatment back home that still does not live up to the promises made. According to a recent British Medical Association report, thousands



*Even the bosses are losing their jobs*



*The BNP praise our troops*



of injured soldiers are not getting the health care they were promised. Many are still on hospital waiting lists despite a pledge over a year ago that they would receive "fast-track" treatment and "priority" health care for conditions likely resulting from their service.

Dr Brendan McKeating, chairman of the BMA's Armed Forces committee, said recently, "Only a minority of veterans are actually receiving priority care. The situation is disgraceful. If we are going to ask people to go out there and put their lives at risk then we have to offer them the best health care when they come back."

### And Let Us Praise Our Foreign-born Bishops

How ironic that the two most outspoken leading churchmen in defence of traditional Christian values, including in our relations with fellow British citizens, should be of non-European origin. They are, of course, the Bishop of Rochester, the Rt Rev Michael Nazir-Ali, born and raised in Pakistan, and the sky-diving Archbishop of York, Dr John Sentamu of Uganda. The Archbishop's sponsored sky-dive, it should be noted, raised at least £50,000 for the Afghanistan Trust which helps families of soldiers killed or wounded in that battle area. I am sure that most BNP supporters will join me in saying, "Well done, Dr John!"

Both of our foreign-born Bishops are believed to have been instrumental in the latest Church of England report (apparently even the Archbishop of Canterbury has gone along with it) which says Government ministers are only paying "lip service" to the Anglican Church while "focussing intently" on other religions. All main parties show a general lack of interest in the Christian churches and the report says that for the Government "the churches simply do not register on the radar."

It was significant that the report came out just ten days after two Christian preachers were ordered by a police community support officer to stop handing out gospel leaflets in a predominantly Muslim area of Birmingham and threatened with arrest for committing a "hate crime." This was an example of the comment made by Bishop Nazir-Ali, and for which he was criticised by certain weak-kneed churchmen, that there were now no-go areas for Christians in Britain.

The Bishop comes from a mixed Muslim and Christian family and studied Islamic history at Karachi University. In contrast to David Cameron, who talks airily about our "broken society," he has been saying what is broken and why it is broken. I cannot do better than quote the comments made about Bishop Nazir-Ali by George Pitcher in the *Sunday Telegraph*, May 11<sup>th</sup>:

"According to his analysis, British culture is founded on the values of Judaeo-Christianity, values that have been undermined by the Marxist-inspired social revolution of the Sixties and are now in danger of yielding to the successive alien credo of Islam. Make no mistake: the enemies of the state here are Marx and Mohammed."

### Caution on Global Warming

I am concerned that when I have suggested in the past that we should be cautious in accepting all the arguments put forward on how much of global warming is down to the activities of man, then I risk upsetting the expanding "Green Wing" within the BNP. Nevertheless, there are two recent developments that cause me to take that risk again.

With even advertisements joining in with politicians and journalists of all flavours to tell us that global warming is now well underway, a paper published in the journal *Nature* in May says that it will now stop until at least 2015 because of natural variations in the climate. The lead author of the paper was Noel Keenlyside of the Leibniz Institute of Marine Sciences in Kiel, Germany.

Then in early June came a report by Graham Tibbetts in the *Daily Telegraph* that more than 31,000 scientists have signed a petition denying that man is responsible for global warming. Greenhouse gases, such as CO<sub>2</sub> and methane actually benefit the environment, claims the group of academics, which includes 9,000 PhDs.

The petition said: "The proposed limits on greenhouse gases would harm the environment, hinder the advance of sciences and technology, and damage the health and welfare of mankind. There is no convincing scientific evidence that human release of greenhouse gases is causing or will, in the foreseeable future, cause catastrophic heating of the Earth's atmosphere and disruption of the Earth's climate."

The petition was reissued last year by the Oregon Institute of Science and Medicine, an independent research group, partly in response to Al Gore's propaganda film, *An Inconvenient Truth* (obligatory showing in many schools).

Despite the fact that it is now clear that there is certainly not a consensus in support of the global warming view, our politicians gladly use it to increase our taxes. For example, the cost of the 18 new green taxes announced by Alistair Darling "to save the planet" was £3 billion a year. We already pay out £3 billion through our electricity bills for the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme and the "renewables obligation," which obliges us to pay a 100% subsidy to wind turbine companies for the piffling amount of electricity they produce and which is still not harnessed into the national grid.

Finally, let us not forget that whether global warming is going to be a major threat or not to mankind's existence, internationalist liberal-left politicians find it a useful universal bogeyman to make us more readily accept the one-world, globalist concept. It is not for nothing that the global warming campaign has been driven by the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).

### World Premiere of a British Symphony at Worcester

We recommend that music lovers, classical or otherwise, among our readers get to Worcester Cathedral on 4<sup>th</sup> August to hear the world premiere of Andrew Gant's British Symphony. He is the Director of Music at the Royal Palaces.

Gant's theme of Britishness is expressed in a unified symphony, which interlinks separate strands of the traditional music that symbolises the different regions of the British Isles. Around the whole symphony lies the lead character in so many British folk songs and stories, and the common experience of the British peoples, namely the sea.

This premier is part of a "Passion and Pomp" programme starting at 7.45pm, with the Festival Chorus and the Philharmonia Orchestra conducted by Adrian Lucas. Tickets start at £10. Other works include Elgar's *Pomp and Circumstance March No. 6*, and Tchaikovsky's *Romeo and Juliet Overture*.

The evening is all part of this year's famous Three Choirs festival held in Worcester.



*Are we responsible for the melting of the glaciers?*



brave



# Speakers' Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 1WT, England. E-mail: [identity@bnp.org.uk](mailto:identity@bnp.org.uk)

## LETTER OF THE MONTH

### >> The Horse Has Bolted

The editorial in the *Romford Recorder*, March 28, contained a telling phrase. Commenting on the victory of the British National

Party in Gooshays Ward, Harold Hill, the editor, speaks of the "drawbacks of democracy" which allow parties "like the BNP" to prevail.

Democracy is the will of the people expressed through a secret ballot. The Liberal-Left establishment championed the system while it continued to return parties prepared to dance to their tune of globalism and multiculturalism.

Now, however, we see them wringing their hands and wailing about the "drawbacks" because they have realised - far too late - that the people now have the ability to choose a party which will actually address their concerns and are using that newfound power to great effect.

It is that same Liberal-Left who have continually branded the BNP as "fascists" who are now hypocritically impugning democracy and free speech, the very cornerstones of our society, because they no longer deliver the politically correct result.

Democracy is now, apparently, only to be applauded when approved candidates are elected.

The old gang parties have for decades ridden roughshod over the best interests of the hardworking men and women of our country and now they are reaping what they have sown.

The people are not the fools and dupes which successive governments and local authorities have taken them for. They have merely, in the past, been denied a voice. They now have found that voice. Long and loud may they continue to use it.

Kevin Trott  
Romford, Essex

(This letter was published in the *Romford Recorder*. It was chosen by the editor as Letter of the Month and the author receives a year's subscription to Identity.)

### >> Let Parties Pay Their MPs



Imagine that a bunch of crooks had taken over the running of this country. They would fiddle their expenses, have special tax-free arrangements with the Inland Revenue, create jobs, perks and honours for their pals, and generally rob the honest taxpayers. Isn't this what has already happened?

The answer is to stop politicians from

acting as a breed of civil servants, fund the political parties properly, and let those parties pay their MPs and councillors.

Fund the parties by payment for each vote received at a general election. I would suggest £1 for each vote up to 100,000, then £5 a vote thereafter. A party getting 10 million votes would be paid £50 million a year up to the next general election. They could pay their MPs and councillors what they wished by way of salaries and expenses, and the rules for taxation and expenses should be the same as for a private company.

There would be great incentives and advantages for people who voted. Even if they voted for a losing candidate they would in effect be making a donation to the party of their choice. The political parties

would of course be free to raise additional funds.

It would be better if the voting system at local and general elections was changed to the party list system as used in the EU elections, in order to give proportional representation. This would be much better than the present corrupt system.

David Rimington  
Harrogate

### >> "Malevolent External Forces"

Eddy Butler's article on Edward Eyre in the May issue was most interesting, but I can't agree with his last paragraph in which he blames all our troubles on our inherent liberalism.

I thoroughly recommend *The Struggle for World Power*, by George Knappfer, which gives the best explanation of the Money Power that I have read. Also recommended is *The Surrender of an Empire* by Nesta Webster. These two books alone give evidence galore of "malevolent external forces," "secret societies" (grand orient freemasonry for one) and "conspiracies."

We can't let the enemy off so lightly!

Alan Dickerson  
Cambridge

### >> Sheffield's Reminder of Devastated Industry



It is with sadness that I hear the Tinsley cooling towers, which stand at the side of the M1 near Sheffield, are to be demolished. They are an imposing indicator to drivers, letting them know that they are entering the North of England.

They stand moodily and artistically, dominating the steel city skyline. And they are art. A living art which is a stepping stone into an industrial golden age when Britain was a world leader. A proud monument to the efforts, achievements and sacrifices of the great British worker.

Unlike what passes as modern art and sculpture, these cooling towers have a story



to tell; a line to local people and were once so useful. If a modern artist was wanting to build something like the Tinsley Towers, councils up and down the country would be falling over themselves offering kings' ransoms to have them built.

To the powers that be the desolate cooling towers are an awkward reminder about how British industry has been devastated and the working class had been sold out. Millions of drivers/voters every year see them and these facts will be brought back into people's consciences. Many people will probably agree with me that this is one of the main reasons why these monoliths of Yorkshire industry sadly have their days numbered.

**Trevor Agnew**  
County Durham

## >> We Reject Anti-Semitism

The recent attacks by the Jewish Board of Deputies and the Community Security Trust against the BNP are regrettable, and no longer sustainable.

However, we can be reassured that these bodies do not speak for all Jews and some Jews will continue to support the BNP, no matter what their supposed 'leaders' say.

Jews who put "Britain First" before Zionist interests and who reject Marxism (which probably covers the majority today) not only have nothing to fear from the BNP but should be supporting us.

The British National Party is not anti-Semitic. A man should be criticised for what he does and not for what he is, whether Jew or Gentile.

**Robert Best**  
West Sussex

## >> Welcome Back to Paul Golding

I was thrilled to discover that Paul Golding is back among the living, so to speak, after an absence of more than six years. Of course, he's not the only bright star in the constellation of British nationalism to have taken an extended leave of absence and now appear to be returning.

Even more, I want to say what a fascinating, eloquent and powerful article his was (*The Rise of the Media Machine*, May, pp 8-10). I don't recall being quite so riveted by his writings in his previous incarnation.

My great pleasure was slightly tempered by he or you living down to another nationalist tradition of cunningly slipping in two linguistic clangers, viz "obvious" for "obviously" in line 10 of the penultimate paragraph, and "effect" for "effective" in line 2 of the final one.

(Tony, we stand guilty as charged. In our rush to try and make up for the time lost in producing the May issue - we apologise to our

subscribers for its lateness - these errors were missed on the final proofs - Editor)

**Tony Young**  
East London

## >> Navy Magazine Tells The Truth

I am currently serving in the Royal Navy in 'foreign parts'. After reading an article in *Warships International Fleet Review* I thought that it basically explains the present state of our nation and navy, which throughout our great history went together like hand and glove. Look at this opening paragraph:

"This is a government of idiots, Defence dim-wits. Or are they? It is fashionable to regard the current Socialist administration of Gordon Brown as being merely incompetent at managing the security of the British State, its interests around the world, dependent territories overseas and protecting its many citizens working abroad. However, what if it is all by design? The deliberate, premeditated destruction of the UK's Armed Forces?"

The following page has a photograph of the modern design and modern equipped frigate HMS Norfolk. The caption reads:

"The former HMS Norfolk leaving Portsmouth in early 2007 in her new guise as the Chilean frigate Almirante Cochrane. Norfolk was axed in the 2004 defence cuts, forced on the Royal Navy by a Treasury keen to use the recent high-tech Iraq War to get rid of warships. Gordon Brown was then Chancellor of the Exchequer and Des Browne one of his key lieutenants in the Treasury."

**Lee**  
Cheshire

(Lee supplied his full name, which is withheld for obvious reason, and address - Editor)

## >> Obama - The Movie?



Here are two thoughts on so-called 'racism' issues.

First, with the campaigning for the American presidential contest as an entertainment, have we not been watching *Barack Obama - The Movie*? Here we have an actor with the good looks of O.J. Simpson combined with the public spirit of Bill Cosby, the energy of Eddie Murphy and the charm of Forest Whitaker, etc. It is as if this has all been just another American film, with a Hollywood-backed candidate as the hero in yet another White House drama.

Secondly, David Dimbleby's BBC 1 presentation of London's 'major party' Mayoral candidates deplorably exposed their shared silence on the dangers of endless immigration into the capital city. It was not just the exclusion of the BNP and other competitors from any discussion, but the role of the Three Stooges as the proverbial Three Moneys: hear no overpopulation, see no overpopulation and speak no overpopulation.

Whatever the colour, gender or oratory of these sideshow celebrities in the UK or US, actual government will remain in other hands until the majority of people in our two declining countries, once the greatest nations on earth, realise why they are suffering, and a complete sea-change occurs.

**C. G. Poole**  
Cumbria

## >> SNP Muslims Versus Labour Muslims



Inspired by the BNP electoral campaigns south of the border; I recall that when I attended the election count night in Glasgow for the Scottish Parliament last year, I was outraged at the rabble of rival Muslim factions supporting Labour and the SNP. Each time the result was contrary to either side, there were outbreaks of hysterical jeering directed at each other, with several bouts of scuffling and fighting which required police intervention!

The SNP Muslims, which included Somalis, were all waving the Saltire election pennant in a display of tribal mob hysteria against their Muslim 'brothers' of the Labour camp.

To their credit, not a single indigenous white person was among this mob. Perhaps they were content to enjoy this spectacle of 'enrichment' from the ethnic minorities that the traitorous Mr Salmond describes as the "New Scots."

I recommend these lines in the form of Robert Bruce's *"Address to the Scots at the Battle of Bannockburn."* For "Bannockburn" now read "Britain."

*Scots, whose forefathers bled with Wallace!  
Sons of Freedom!*

*Save yourselves from the pyre of Christian dead  
Where the Saltire is held aloft by Muslim hands  
And the crescent of Islam would beckon us to worship!*

*Save us from a gory bed - on to victory!*

**Charlie Bailey**  
Glasgow



**T**ime management is a concept that many people have difficulty with, but with the right strategies there is no reason why you cannot take charge of your time and change your life. Here are four time management strategies that need considering if you are feeling like you do not have enough time, or that you are not getting the most out of your time. By taking on just one of these four strategies at a time, you can radically alter how effectively you manage time and hence how much quicker you'll reach your goals.

**Simplify.** There are a lot of different processes in your life that can be simplified as a means of managing your time better. Simplify how much stuff you have to cut down on, the time you spend dealing with clutter, or simplify the number of tasks you have to do in a day so that you can space your tasks more realistically. If you have complicated ways to handle certain tasks, consider working to simplify them as well. By thinking this way, you can make many processes in your daily life more straightforward and less time intensive, which can save you a significant amount of time by the end of the day.

**Schedule Weekly Reviews and Re-Evaluations.** By sitting down at some point during the week and looking at your time management effectiveness throughout that previous week, you can start to alter your routines and actions until they are more time effective. Every week you need to make a point to review your current time management plan and strategies, and re-evaluate them as necessary. What is working? What isn't working? This is an excellent foundation with which to begin when further fine-tuning the management of your time.

**Develop Routines.** By developing routines, you will always have a good idea of what needs to be accomplished and when. Routines are excellent at encouraging both action and momentum, so if you feel like you are not getting enough done in a day, or if you feel like your time management strategies could use a pick me up, developing routines may be just the strategy for time management that you need. Create routines for different facets of your life, such as tackling morning duties at work, or weekly cleaning. When you do not have to speculate what to do because your routine is laid out for you already, you will find yourself saving a lot of time.

**Start Small.** Time management is not something you can suddenly master. Instead, you need to start small by identifying the biggest problems that you need to tackle, and tackling each one individually. Chip away at your time management follies one by one until you see yourself saving time and getting more done. By taking things slowly and starting small, you can better conquer your time management rather than simply becoming overwhelmed by everything involved in such a large life change.

#### 11 More Ideas to Help You Make Deliberate Choices

Intentionally choose how to use time. Time can be used pouring out your strengths, passions, skills, and values, and not be wasted. Our choices can make it such. So choose wisely:

**1. Know Your Priorities** - For least resistance, align priorities based on who you are. What is really important to you? List 3-5 top priorities in order. Look at them daily. What goals are most important right now for long-term fulfilment?

**2. Be Aware of Time Bandits** - Monitor how you spend time for 24-72 hours. Notice where time is wasted or how it can be put to better use. For example, try to run errands once a week versus daily trips. Notice distracters, those things that steal your focus such as phone calls, e-mail, things breaking down, etc.

**3. Set your Mind on the Ultimate Result** - Thoughts guide

# Raising Your Personal Efficiency

» This is not a political article but has some useful tips for our Councillors and Branch Officials. It was written by Edward Harris Smyth, MBA a company senior consultant for a house magazine.

behaviour. Focus negatively, and you may get discouraged and quit. The Wright Brothers focused on the end result, "what it takes to fly," not being grounded. Choose your line of thought or your brain will take a shortcut and choose the thought pattern/habit that is in place, whether or not it serves you. It is a choice! You can accept or reject a thought. Choose a positive, results-aimed mindset always!

**4. Develop Great Habits and a Structure to Support Your Actions** - Many people are busy, but without the structure and habits in place to get the results they crave. Know that great habits and structure support you to complete primary tasks. You then get results, which lead to more freedom to do what you want (without the fear or guilt).

**5. Have an Efficient Scheduling System** - Whether using a personal organiser, home computer or a planner, it is critical to have a structure that supports your planning style.

**6. Schedule Priorities First, Block Time, and Take Action** - Block time for priorities first, then follow through. Focus on how great you will feel after doing it. Block time for various roles and avoid multi-tasking to maintain focus. Do not do housework during business hours.

Set yourself up for success by scheduling high energy tasks when you have energy and low energy tasks later. Stay fully focused to complete priorities with excellence. Give full attention to one activity at a time.





# High Levels of Efficiency

**7. Set Time Limits** - Set a time limit when you begin a task then stay focused. This takes practice. Most tasks take longer than we anticipate, but it will save time. Most of us could waste plenty of time on e-mail, but can save a lot when we set a limit.

**8. Plan a Day Ahead** - For most, each day is different. It helps to plan your schedule the day/evening before. Then you can jump out of bed in the morning with a smile on your face because you know exactly what to do.

**9. Create Daily Habits that Serve You** - What daily action would make the greatest positive difference? Examples: Spiritual studies, go to bed or wake up earlier, watch less TV, read, make three new contacts, drink more water, do something you love, limit time for e-mail, write, organise, plan, create, help others, etc. Make it specific. Ask which daily habits uplift you and which hold you back then make a shift.

Take action on what is most important. Reaching goals means taking the steps that get you there... no matter what. You are either interested or committed. Life will get in the way. Fear will want to hold you back. It is a choice to continue in action on the important stuff, and rewards come when you do.

**10. Do It, Delegate It, or Dump It** - It is easier to stay in action on priorities when you leverage your strengths, passions, values and skills. Learn in action and gain experience. Delegate what is not your cup of tea. Dump what is not that important right now. Block off limited time to handle distractions that drain your

energy such as clutter, repairs, etc.

**11. Simplify and Systematise** - Put systems in place to make things easier. Consistently seek the more efficient way. For example, managers should position employees in order to leverage their strengths/ passions, when you buy something get rid of something to avoid clutter, organise the office at the end of each day, give everything a home so nothing is ever lost. Strive for efficiency.

To organize life and manage yourself for peak performance, you have to feel your best. This means scheduling rejuvenation time regularly to enjoy what you love and what life has to offer right now.

With today's busy lifestyle you will often feel that there simply isn't enough time to do everything you want to do. Most of us have busy work schedules and families to look after, so every minute of leisure time seems like a valuable commodity. However, there are some simple steps you can take to get more out of your day.

Whether you work in an office or run your own business, managing your time effectively can make you more productive and free up time for the activities you enjoy. However, you will have to make some changes before you can do this effectively.

You have to do accept that there is only so much time available in any day. We all have days where we are extremely productive and even achieve more than we thought possible. However, this is rarely sustainable and sooner or later you will burn out if you try to pack too much into each day.

So when you are planning your time for a day, you need to think about what you can realistically achieve with the time you have. One option is to allocate certain amounts of time for certain things. For example, you might want to dedicate nine hours to work, two hours to chores, three hours to your family and two hours to doing something that you enjoy on your own.

There is only a certain amount of time available to you and you cannot change that. However, you can learn to use this time in the most effective way possible. Take some time to consider what is most important to you and what you are good at. These are the things that you need to be spending your time on.

If, for example, you develop websites, you will have different tasks such as graphic design, programming and writing content. Perhaps you have a flair for graphic design and programming and you are very productive with these tasks, but you struggle when it comes to writing content. In this case you need to delegate the writing work and concentrate on what you are good at. This is effective time management as it will boost productivity and ensure that you are spending your time on something you are good at and enjoy.

It may take some time, but if you actively try to identify what is important to you, you will quickly learn how you really need to be spending your time. This will allow you to plan out your day effectively and give you a satisfying sense of control.

However, you could even take it one step further by choosing the best time of the day to do certain tasks. If you look at your working day closely you will find that there are certain times when you are suited to certain tasks.

For example, we are usually most alert in the morning so you may want to use the early hours of your day for the work that takes the most brainpower. In the afternoon you may find that your energy is more suited to meetings or making phone calls, while you might like to wind up your day with basic housekeeping tasks like filing e-mails or updating your contacts. This will vary from person to person so experiment with it and you will be able to see when you are most suited to certain tasks. Once you have an idea you can plan your day accordingly to boost productivity.

Effective time planning is a very personal thing because of the need to identify what is really important to you. However, it is worth working at as it will lead to a happier, fuller and more successful life. It will enable you to be the best you can be.



There is sometimes confusion in our ranks: Who or what is the enemy? Who or what are we fighting against?

Some hint at dark forces in the background. Some use code words such as Zionists. Others go full throttle and regale us with stories about 'ze Chooish' conspiracy. The reality is that the forces we fight against are much more powerful than 'ze Chooze'. The forces we are fighting against are causing the Zionist state of Israel to crumble bit by bit. The forces we are fighting against have been at work long before 'Jewish money power' gained any sort of position of significant influence. If looked at with any sort of long-term historical perspective, it can be seen that Jewish interaction alongside the forces we are fighting against was a temporary phenomenon from the early 19<sup>th</sup> to the later part of the 20<sup>th</sup> centuries.

Similarly we are not specifically fighting against the Bilderbergers, the Illuminati or other semi-secret societies. They are merely manifestations of the nexus. Nor are we fighting against shape-shifting lizards (sorry if I am unable to provide empirical evidence to disprove this hypothesis).

We are not fighting against Islam. Were it not for those we are really fighting against there would be no conceivable Islamic threat. Our opposition to the creeping Islamic takeover of Britain is a consequence of actions by those we are really fighting against allowing it to happen. Otherwise Islam would be a slightly strange and fanatical religion practiced by people in far-off countries. Sometimes Islamic fundamentalism might cause us 'inconvenience' as occasionally happened in times past. For example, the disciples of Muhammad Ahmed, the Mahdi, caused the

British Empire a little local difficulty in the deserts of the Sudan, trouble that was ironed out when the 'Fuzzy Wuzzies' found that "Whatever happens we have got the Maxim gun and they have not." Were it not for those who we are fighting against, our assured technological edge would guarantee there would be no Islamic threat.

We are not specifically fighting against the Labour Party, the Conservative Party or the Liberal Democrats. They are merely different tools of what we are fighting against. The same goes for *Searchlight*, the far left, and the host of other negativist 'anti' grouplets.

#### DEATH OF COMMUNISM

A couple of decades ago I would have said that what we are really fighting against is not Communism. The forces we are fighting against effectively seeded, nurtured and grew Communism as an experiment or as an attempt at fulfilling its own agenda by other means. When that experiment became too costly to sustain and its repeated failures too blatant to retain any credibility as a viable alternative, it was rapidly put to death.

Needless to say we are not really fighting against the increasing millions of immigrants who are crowding to our shores. We are not really fighting against those who mug our people, those who rape our people, or those who knife our people to death. These are 'just' horrendous by-products created by those we are really fighting against.

The reason I am writing this is that

many within our ranks do not appreciate the nature of our enemy or even its identity and so are not fighting effectively. How can you fight against an enemy when you have no idea what that enemy is?

We are fighting a civil war. It is a war that has been going on for centuries. It is a war that will end in our victory or in the death of our people as a people. But it would be a pyrrhic victory for the opposition as it would result in the death of 'it' as well, rather as a bee dies after it has stung its victim (although I would not like to compare our opposition to a bee as bees perform a useful function in nature).

We are fighting a war against the liberal gene that sits destructively and uniquely tie

# The Nature of The Beast

» Eddy Butler defines who the real enemy is that the BNP is fighting. To no doubt the surprise of many, it lies in our genes.



antagonists who would deny any ethnic based exclusivity for their philosophy.

They should consider these facts. Mankind can be a beastly creature. Since the dawn of time we have enslaved each other. The victims of this enslavement are invariably criminals within a society or those who have been conquered. Of all the world's peoples, who has ever liberated its slaves? Who has fought brother against brother (literally in the American Civil War) over the issue of freeing slaves? What nations led the world in ending slavery? The answer is those nations from North West Europe, or those populated by people who originated from North West Europe.

In which nations of the world do you find animal rights groups (not a necessarily bad thing - but still an example of soft heartedness)?

From which nations in the world have all the treatises on liberalism derived?

What countries are at the forefront of moves towards breaking down national boundaries? Who has taken the lead in forming trans-national bodies such as the United Nations? For that matter is there anything similar to the European Union anywhere else in the world?

What countries promote an economic system known as global free trade, which is dominated by large trans-national corporations, and that does not benefit the citizens of their own countries?

Which countries have opened their doors to uncontrolled immigration from totally different nations?

Which countries promote foreign culture as equally valid in comparison to their own culture and heritage?

Which countries send aid workers to Africa (and do not rape those they are supposed to be helping)?

Which countries donate millions to charities supposedly to help the undeveloped world, when everyone knows that these patronising efforts merely line the pockets of dictators, deny these countries the prospect of self reliance and are only done to save the conscience of the donor?

Which countries are so assured of the 'rightness' of their ideology that they try to force, often by use of the military, other countries to model themselves on the western liberal system? What countries do not quite recognise the inherent contradiction in such a policy, so certain are they of the 'rightness' of their cause?

Similarly, what countries steal the partly trained health workers from underdeveloped countries purely to promote the ethnic breakdown of the host country, but at the hidden (out of sight and out of mind) cost of even worse health care in the donor country?

For liberalism is the most hypocritical and dishonest of philosophies. It is about appeasing the conscience of the individual liberal: of making them feel good and allowing them a sanctimonious glow. It is

the philosophical equivalent of the hair shirt or the wire wool underclothes worn by some religious fanatics. Liberalism is a scourge for impure thoughts. The impure thought that flickers across their mind when they walk at night down an ill-lit street hoping to soak up the wonderful multicultural experience until they have to walk, head bowed, past some 'inner city youths'. What horrible, pejorative words flicker through their minds at such moments?

But it need not be at night. It could be in broad daylight in Oxford Street, squeezing past McDonalds. It could be while they are sitting minding their own business on a bus. It could be while they are going to hospital to visit a sick relative. It could be when their child comes back from school having had their dinner money taken from them. It could be when they see the grieving parents of an innocent youngster who has been stabbed to death. It could be when they look at the gravestone of their own child. Liberalism is the antidote for the weak-willed guilt tripper.

Liberalism disarms our people in the face of threats. Instead of being wary and on their guard when faced by potential danger, liberalism teaches our people to see a human being, not a possible mugger, rapist or murderer. As a result our people often walk right into trouble without being able to assess properly the risks or consequences for their actions. Liberalism and its promoters and sponsors are directly responsible for every single attack.

## FANATICAL LIBERALISM

Liberalism is the most fanatical of ideologies. We have already seen how willing the liberal ascendancy is to bomb into submission any that have the temerity (impertinence, or as the Jews might say, chutzpah) to resist the imposition of 'liberal democracy' (that is, the liberal fake version of democracy) particularly if they have brown faces and live many miles away. Liberalism is supposed to champion freedom - freedom of expression in particular. Unless, of course, you challenge liberalism as we do, in which case you are 'beyond the pale' and put on a par with terrorists and paedophiles.

It has been working towards its agenda for centuries: from Thomas Moore to Voltaire, Jean Jacques Rousseau, John Smith, William Wilberforce, John Stuart Mill, Jeremy Bentham and Gladstone.

It is an agenda where the individual is encouraged to sate all experiences possible, where drug use is almost encouraged, where everyone is intrinsically equal and everything is due to nurture and not to nature. Families are old hat and all forms of sexuality are equally valid. The criminal can be treated and should not be punished. It is a world with no countries... and no religion, too, as John Lennon put it. The world is a

within our own people. For what we understand as 'liberalism' is not found in other ethnic groups. It is a weakness of our ethnic group. All ethnic groups have biological variations caused by centuries of selective and localised breeding. These are manifested by a host of slightly different physical, mental, psychological and physiological characteristics. One such observable characteristic is what may for the sake of brevity be called the liberal gene.

If we as a people die out, then liberalism will die out with us, but that is of no consolation. Nor is it a calculation which would enter the heads of our



melting pot - a great big onion.

And aren't we all immigrants anyway?

Of all the institutions or components of the nations: education, the health service, science, business, the military, the judiciary, and so on, liberalism first took control of the arts and culture. Liberalism was embarking on a war that for them could happily take generations to win. Indeed it did take them many generations to eat out the heart of the nation until they gained the ascendancy. They started with the arts and culture as they are of pivotal importance in forming how the influential sections of the population think. Also the artistic environment is more prone to penetration by 'arty-farty' effete types who are the natural constituency for liberal thinking. From there they moved into the education system, the judiciary and so on. The military are perhaps the last bastion of old, traditionalist, healthy thinking and instincts, and there is increasing evidence that the armed forces are now succumbing to the liberal ascendancy.

### NORMALISATION

The ascendancy will not allow us to infiltrate back into these areas readily. They will be watchful to make sure that we do not slip through to take back control. They will always seek to deny us 'normalisation' through such means as their 'no platform' policy. Indeed that is the fundamental reason why they have the 'no platform' policy. It is why it is inconceivable that, for example, a minority Conservative council would ever enter into even an informal alliance with a BNP group of councillors to run a local authority. But this is to our advantage as it means we are the opposition. We are the only real opposition. We will not be tainted by being part of the failing regime in any way. Liberalism knows that it took control by first subverting the organs of the state. They will do all they can to deny us the opportunity to do the same. This effectively means that to win we must win big. We must win the whole game in one go instead of taking one segment at a time as they did. We must take control of the state to control everything else.

Liberalism knows that we are the dread enemy. They know and understand fully that this is a civil war; to a degree it's a war within each of us as individuals. The war is one to the death. They will not give us an inch and we must understand this. This is not to say that we cannot break off parts of the liberal ascendancy. The liberal ascendancy is not an impervious monolith. If we are clever and careful it is no more solid than sandstone. We can use cognitive dissonance to undermine their preconceptions about what we are. We can reduce the liberal ascendancy to an empty husk that falls to our challenge.

We must avoid conforming to the stereotype. Indeed the old stereotypes, the

old forms of nationalist or rightist behaviour, however you may wish to label it, will not achieve our goals anyway and so must be dispensed with. In any case the situation we find ourselves in perfectly fits the new model. We are in the second class citizen category. We are the ones denied our civil rights, denied our heritage, denied our history, denied access to our culture. We are at the back of the queue. We are the only ones at whom politically correct 'comedians' can mock and sneer. The liberal ascendancy is the establishment and has been for several decades. Those who imagined that it was daring or risqué to mock the monarchy, the clergy or judges in sketch shows are just pitiful safety valves.

Our people do not have the whip hand. We do not have the luxury of being able to adopt the colonial or settler sneer of contempt for the immigrants who have been brought here to dispossess us. What the liberal ascendancy cannot handle is the vociferous claiming of rights. We must

demand our rights and we must disarm and unman the liberal by throwing back at them the same language of liberation that they invented for the black civil rights campaigners.

By doing this we will chip away at the ascendancy and bring it crumbling to the floor (it having the consistency of sandstone, remember). We can overwhelm it with our vibrancy. We will never be welcome in their tent. They will never share political power with us, be it in the smallest Town Hall or the most influential committee. Be under no illusion, they will not leave the door ajar for us. They know how they subverted their way into power and understand the importance of blocking us.

We must understand that the way to fight liberalism is to break bits of it away and then neutralise them. We must appreciate what we can and cannot achieve and what goals we can and cannot now attain. As a result of our efforts, the contradictions of the liberal agenda will become even more glaring. As the consequences of these contradictions begin to bite more deeply, we must become more pro-active in pointing them out. It will become easier for us to break off elements and bring them into our camp, to liberate them from their foolishness and free them from further mental anguish.

### ISLAM INCOMPATIBLE

The more radical, violent and irreconcilable Islam becomes, in other words the more adherents to Islam we have in our country, the more blatantly obvious it will be that it is incompatible with the basic tenets of liberalism. The more dangerous and violent our society becomes, the weaker the hold of liberalism. The more society falls apart, perhaps through an economic meltdown, the weaker will be the hold of any ascendancy.

However, we must not fall into the trap of awaiting such changes or thinking that our eventual success is dependent upon cataclysmic events. Any progress available to us in these scenarios will be a small crumb of comfort as these very processes herald the impending collapse of our society, and we cannot predict the outcome. Anyone who welcomes this is making a grave and suicidal error. We must not fall into the trap of believing that the collapse of our society is a vital precursor to our victory. Victory is in our hands now and is not dependent upon capricious events over which we have no control. If we behave in the right way now we can march towards that victory. We must recognise what we must do in order to achieve it and be single minded in our determination to reach that goal. We must recognise who our enemy is in order to learn how to defeat it and thus avoid the abyss into which our country is plunging.



**NEW****£11 inc p&p**

*Not Just About the Music* is the stunning new album from Joey Smith - the fastest rising star in the nationalist music scene. It contains 11 original tracks, performed and recorded in GWR's own studio.

Please make cheques payable to 'Great White Records': PO Box 74, Market Weighton, East Yorkshire, YO43 4WT or order online!

# GREAT WHITE

[www.greatwhiterecords.com](http://www.greatwhiterecords.com)

**£11 inc p&p**

*West Wind* is the best selling album from Great White Records. It contains 11 tracks written by Nick Griffin and arranged and performed by a variety of nationalist artists. This album really is a must have in anyone's collection.

You don't have to send a cheque; you can also order both of these albums by telephone on our new hotline **0871 050 0242** or order online!



## 9th ANNUAL BNP FAMILY FESTIVAL

Red, White & Blue

# 2008

15th - 17th August

Held at the same Derbyshire  
location as last year!

### Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £17.50 ☐ £35 ☐

Overseas air mail Europe £23.20 ☐ £46.40 ☐

Overseas air mail Middle East, North and South America £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

Overseas air mail Australasia, Far East £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

**Please Note:** These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to:  
PO Box 17, Amlwch, LL68 8AB. You can subscribe online at [www.bnp.org.uk](http://www.bnp.org.uk). Photocopies can be used.

#### Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £17; 15 - £24; 20 - £31; 25 - £38; 30 - £44; 40 - £58; 50 - £71; 60 - £84;

80 - £110; 100 - £135

**Postage** - £2.15 for 5; £3.90 for 10; £7.75 for 15 - 25; £8.80 for 30 - 40;

£10.00 for 50; £10.80 for 60; £12.55 for 80-100